



US009490113B2

(12) **United States Patent**
Vertes et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 9,490,113 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent:** **Nov. 8, 2016**

(54) **TAILORED NANOPOST ARRAYS (NAPA) FOR LASER DESORPTION IONIZATION IN MASS SPECTROMETRY**

(75) Inventors: **Akos Vertes**, Reston, VA (US); **Bennett N. Walker**, Washington, DC (US); **Jessica A. Stolee**, Washington, DC (US); **Scott T. Retterer**, Knoxville, TN (US)

(73) Assignee: **The George Washington University**, Washington, DC (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 17 days.

(21) Appl. No.: **12/755,769**

(22) Filed: **Apr. 7, 2010**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**
US 2010/0323917 A1 Dec. 23, 2010

Related U.S. Application Data

(60) Provisional application No. 61/167,442, filed on Apr. 7, 2009.

(51) **Int. Cl.**
H01J 49/04 (2006.01)
G01N 33/68 (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**
CPC **H01J 49/0418** (2013.01); **G01N 33/6848** (2013.01); **G01N 33/6851** (2013.01); **Y02E 10/52** (2013.01)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**
CPC H01J 48/0418; G01N 33/6848; G01N 33/6851; Y02E 10/52
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

6,288,390 B1	9/2001	Siuzdak et al.
6,589,485 B2	7/2003	Koster
6,794,196 B2	9/2004	Fonash et al.
6,846,681 B2	1/2005	Buriak et al.
6,881,950 B2	4/2005	Schlaf et al.
6,958,480 B1	10/2005	Iyer et al.
6,995,363 B2	2/2006	Donegan et al.
7,045,366 B2	5/2006	Huang et al.
7,048,889 B2	5/2006	Arney et al.
7,049,583 B2	5/2006	Bateman et al.
7,057,165 B2	6/2006	Koopman et al.
7,112,453 B2	9/2006	Hutchens et al.
7,354,792 B2	4/2008	Carey et al.
7,385,192 B2	6/2008	Haase et al.
7,442,629 B2	10/2008	Mazur et al.
8,110,796 B2 *	2/2012	Vertes et al. 250/288

(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

WO	98/20020 A2	5/1998
WO	98/20166 A3	10/1998

(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS


Finkel, Masters Thesis, North Carolina State University, 2006.*
(Continued)

Primary Examiner — Jeremy C Flinders
(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Cohen & Grigsby, P.C.

(57) **ABSTRACT**

The production and use of semiconducting nanopost arrays made by nanofabrication is described herein. These nanopost arrays (NAPA) provide improved laser ionization yields and controllable fragmentation with switching or modulation capabilities for mass spectrometric detection and identification of samples deposited on them.

13 Claims, 15 Drawing Sheets



(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

8,237,114 B2 8/2012 Okuno et al.
 8,530,833 B2* 9/2013 Vertes et al. 250/288
 2001/0031409 A1 10/2001 Sasaki
 2002/0000516 A1 1/2002 Schultz et al.
 2002/0042112 A1 4/2002 Koster et al.
 2002/0060290 A1 5/2002 Pham
 2002/0150903 A1 10/2002 Koster
 2002/0190203 A1 12/2002 Valaskovic et al.
 2003/0017464 A1 1/2003 Pohl et al.
 2003/0032043 A1 2/2003 Pohl et al.
 2003/0080290 A1 5/2003 Baranov et al.
 2003/0082609 A1 5/2003 Olek et al.
 2003/0096426 A1 5/2003 Little et al.
 2003/0113750 A1 6/2003 Distler et al.
 2003/0119021 A1 6/2003 Koster et al.
 2003/0119025 A1 6/2003 Olek et al.
 2003/0124371 A1 7/2003 Um et al.
 2003/0129589 A1 7/2003 Koster et al.
 2003/0129620 A1 7/2003 Olek et al.
 2003/0139885 A1 7/2003 Brock et al.
 2003/0143493 A1 7/2003 Schultz et al.
 2003/0143606 A1 7/2003 Olek et al.
 2003/0148326 A1 8/2003 Olek et al.
 2003/0148327 A1 8/2003 Olek et al.
 2003/0148528 A1 8/2003 Hillenkamp
 2003/0157510 A1 8/2003 Olek et al.
 2003/0162194 A1 8/2003 Olek et al.
 2003/0173513 A1 9/2003 Koopmann et al.
 2003/0186277 A1 10/2003 Olek et al.
 2003/0215842 A1 11/2003 Sledziewski et al.
 2003/0218127 A1 11/2003 Schlaf et al.
 2003/0228594 A1 12/2003 Koster
 2003/0228639 A1 12/2003 Wright et al.
 2004/0018519 A1 1/2004 Wright, Jr.
 2004/0023230 A1 2/2004 Olek et al.
 2004/0029121 A1 2/2004 Cottrell et al.
 2004/0029123 A1 2/2004 Olek et al.
 2004/0029128 A1 2/2004 Cottrell et al.
 2004/0048254 A1 3/2004 Olek et al.
 2004/0067491 A1 4/2004 Olek et al.
 2004/0076956 A1 4/2004 Olek et al.
 2004/0079874 A1 4/2004 Bateman et al.
 2004/0079880 A1 4/2004 Bateman et al.
 2004/0096917 A1 5/2004 Ivey et al.
 2004/0115630 A1 6/2004 Olek et al.
 2004/0142334 A1 7/2004 Schacht
 2004/0157242 A1 8/2004 Ivey et al.
 2004/0173743 A1 9/2004 Valaskovic et al.
 2004/0219549 A1 11/2004 Distler et al.
 2004/0228772 A1 11/2004 Chen et al.
 2004/0234973 A1 11/2004 Adorjan et al.
 2004/0238754 A1 12/2004 Baranov et al.
 2004/0241651 A1 12/2004 Olek et al.
 2004/0245450 A1 12/2004 Hutchens et al.
 2005/0023456 A1 2/2005 Frechet et al.
 2005/0042771 A1 2/2005 Koster et al.
 2005/0064401 A1 3/2005 Olek et al.
 2005/0064410 A1 3/2005 Distler et al.
 2005/0112650 A1 5/2005 Chang et al.
 2005/0123939 A1 6/2005 Gorenstein et al.
 2005/0164402 A1 7/2005 Belisle et al.
 2005/0202420 A1 9/2005 Berlin
 2005/0255606 A1 11/2005 Ahmed et al.
 2005/0282157 A1 12/2005 Olek et al.
 2006/0001884 A1 1/2006 Tani et al.
 2006/0024720 A1 2/2006 McLean et al.
 2006/0043285 A1 3/2006 Laskin et al.
 2006/0051879 A9 3/2006 Koster et al.
 2006/0088830 A1 4/2006 Wright, Jr. et al.
 2006/0121489 A1 6/2006 Gorenstein et al.
 2006/0151688 A1 7/2006 Castro-Perez et al.
 2006/0157647 A1 7/2006 Suizdak et al.
 2006/0157648 A1 7/2006 Suizdak et al.
 2006/0159916 A1* 7/2006 Dubrow et al. 428/357
 2006/0192107 A1 8/2006 DeVoe et al.

2006/0234269 A1 10/2006 Asplund et al.
 2006/0252159 A1 11/2006 Huang et al.
 2006/0270062 A1 11/2006 Nelson et al.
 2006/0292701 A1 12/2006 Huang et al.
 2007/0023627 A1 2/2007 Finch et al.
 2007/0023682 A1 2/2007 Benevides
 2007/0158549 A1 7/2007 Naya et al.
 2009/0236512 A1 9/2009 Naya et al.
 2009/0321626 A1 12/2009 Vertes et al.

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

WO 99/57318 A2 11/1999
 WO 00/54309 A1 9/2000
 WO 01/50499 A1 7/2001
 WO 01/71326 A2 9/2001
 WO 02/093170 A1 11/2002
 WO 02/095362 A2 11/2002
 WO 03/025973 A1 3/2003
 WO 03/040700 A1 5/2003
 WO 03/052135 A2 6/2003
 WO 03/054543 A2 7/2003
 WO 03/079402 A2 9/2003
 WO 03/092581 A2 11/2003
 WO 2004/064972 A2 8/2004
 WO 2004/076511 A2 9/2004
 WO 2004/099068 A2 11/2004
 WO 2005/001423 A2 1/2005
 WO 2005/017487 A2 2/2005
 WO 2005/029003 A2 3/2005
 WO 2005022583 A2 3/2005
 WO 2005081722 A2 5/2005
 WO 2005/070089 A2 8/2005
 WO 2005/088293 A1 9/2005
 WO 2006/083151 A1 8/2006
 WO 2006/113785 A2 10/2006

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Voyager Users Guide 2005.*
 Wheeler et al., *Anal. Chem.*, 2005, 77:534-540.*
 Fenselau et al., *Mass Spec. Rev.*, 2002, 20:157-171.*
 Gomez-Sjoberg et al., *Anal. Chem.* 2007, 79, 8557-8563.*
 J. Wei, J.M. Buriak, and G. Siuzdak, Desorption-ionization mass spectrometry on porous silicon, *Nature*, vol. 399, May 20, 1999, pp. 243-246, Letters to Nature, Macmillan Magazines Ltd, www.nature.com.
 B.N. Walker, J.A. Stolee, D.L. Pickel, S.T. Retterer, A. Vertes, Assessment of laser-induced thermal load on silicon nanostructures based on ion desorption yields, *Applied Physics A, Materials Science & Processing*, 2010, pp. 5893-5898, Springer-Verlag, published online Jul. 10, 2010.
 B.N. Walker, T. Razunguzwa, M. Powell, R. Knochenmuss, and A. Vertes, Nanophotonic Ion Production from Silicon Microcolumn Arrays, *Mass Spectrometry, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, vol. 48, 2009, pp. 1669-1672, Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim.
 S.A. Trauger, E.P. Go, Z. Shen, J.V. Apon, B.J. Compton, E.S.P. Bouvier, M.G. Finn, and G. Siuzdak, High Sensitivity and Analyte Capture with Desorption/Ionization Mass Spectrometry on Silylated Porous Silicon, *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 76, No. 15, Aug. 1, 2004, pp. 4484-4489, American Chemical Society, published on web Jun. 22, 2004.
 K. Tanaka, H. Waki, Y. Ido, S. Akita, Y. Yoshida, and T. Yoshida, Protein and Polymer Analyses up to m/z 100000 by Laser Ionization Time-of-flight Mass Spectrometry, *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry*, vol. 2, No. 8, 1988, pp. 151-153, Heyden & Son Limited.
 T.G. Schaaff, Laser Desorption and Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption/Ionization Mass Spectrometry of 29-kDa Au: SR Cluster Compounds, *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 76, No. 21, Nov. 1, 2004, pp. 6187-6196, American Chemical Society, published on web Oct. 6, 2004.
 A.J. Pedraza, J.D. Fowlkes, and Y.F. Guan, Surface nanostructuring of silicon, *Applied Physics A, Materials Science & Processing*, vol. 77, 2003, pp. 277-284.

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

- A.J. Pedraza, J.D. Fowlkes, and D.H. Lowndes, Laser ablation and column formation in silicon under oxygen-rich atmospheres, *Applied Physics Letters*, vol. 77, No. 19, Nov. 6, 2000, pp. 3018-3020, American Institute of Physics.
- A.J. Pedraza, J.D. Fowlkes, and D.H. Lowndes, Silicon microcolumn arrays grown by nanosecond pulsed-excimer laser irradiation, *Applied Physics Letters*, vol. 74, No. 16, Apr. 19, 1999, pp. 2322-2324, American Institute of Physics.
- T.R. Northen, O.Yanes, M.T. Northen, D. Marrinucci, W. Uritboonthai, J. Apon, S.L. Gollidge, A. Nordstrom, and G. Siuzdak, Clathrate nanostructures for mass spectrometry, *Nature Letters*, vol. 449, Oct. 25, 2007, pp. 1033-1062, Nature Publishing Company.
- A. Nordstrom, J.V. Apon, W. Uritboonthai, E.P. Go, and G. Siuzdak, Surfactant-Enhanced Desorption/Ionization on Silicon Mass Spectrometry, *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 78, No. 1, Jan. 1, 2006, pp. 272-281, American Chemical Society, published on web Dec. 2, 2005.
- J.A. McLean, K.A. Stumpo, and D.H. Russell, Size-Selected (2-10 nm) Gold Nanoparticles for Matrix Assisted Laser Desorption Ionization of Peptides, *Journal of American Chemical Society*, vol. 127, 2005, pp. 5304-5305, American Chemical Society, published on web Mar. 25, 2005.
- G. Luo, Y. Chen, H. Daniels, R. Dubrow, and A. Vertes, Internal Energy Transfer in Laser Desorption/Ionization from Silicon Nanowires, *Journal of Physical Chemistry*, vol. 110, 2006, pp. 13381-13386, American Chemical Society, published on web Jun. 21, 2006.
- G. Luo, Y. Chen, G. Siuzdak, and A. Vertes, Surface Modification and Laser Pulse Length Effects on Internal Energy Transfer in DIOS, *Journal of Physical Chemistry*, vol. 109, 2005, pp. 24450-24456, American Chemical Society, published on web Dec. 6, 2005.
- T.F. Kuo, and J. Xu, Growth and application of highly ordered array of vertical nanoposts, *Journal of Vacuum Science and Technology B*, vol. 24, No. 4, Jul./Aug. 2006, pp. 1925-1934, American Vacuum Society, published Jul. 18, 2006.
- T.H. Her, R.J. Finlay, C. Wu, E. Mazur, Femtosecond laser-induced formation of spikes on silicon, *Applied Physics A, Materials Science and Processing*, vol. 70, 2000, pp. 383-385, Springer-Verlag, published online Mar. 8, 2000.
- T.H. Her, R.J. Finlay, C. Wu, S. Deliwala, and E. Mazur, Microstructuring of silicon with femtosecond laser pulses, *Applied Physics Letters*, vol. 73, No. 12, Sep. 21, 1998, pp. 1673-1675, American Institute of Physics.
- A. Gorecka-Drzazga, J. Dziuban, W. Drzazga, A. Kraj, J. Silberring, Desorption/ionization mass spectrometry on array of silicon microtips, *Journal of Vacuum Science and Technology B*, vol. 23, No. 2, Mar./Apr. 2005, pp. 819-824, American Vacuum Society.
- E.P. Go, J.V. Apon, G. Luo, A. Saghatelian, R.H. Daniels, V. Sahi, R. Dubrow, B.F. Cravatt, A. Vertes, and G. Siuzdak, Desorption/Ionization on Silicon Nanowires, *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 77, No. 6, Mar. 15, 2005, pp. 1641-1646, American Chemical Society, published on web Feb. 27, 2005.
- E.P. Go, J.E. Prenni, J. Wei, A. Jones, S.C. Hall, H. E. Witkowska, Z. Shen, and G. Siuzdak, Desorption/Ionization on Silicon Time-of-Flight/Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometry, *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 75, No. 10, May 15, 2003, pp. 2504-2506, American Chemical Society, published on web Apr. 8, 2003.
- C.H. Crouch, J.E. Carey, J.M. Warrender, M.J. Aziz, E. Mazur, and F.Y. Genin, Comparison of structure and properties of femtosecond and nanosecond laser-structured silicon, *Applied Physics Letters*, vol. 84, No. 11, Mar. 15, 2004, pp. 1850-1852, American Institute of Physics.
- Y. Chen and A. Vertes, Adjustable Fragmentation in Laser Desorption/Ionization from Laser-Induced Silicon Microcolumn Arrays, *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 78, No. 16, Aug. 15, 2006, pp. 5835-5844, American Chemical Society, published on web Jul. 13, 2006.
- E.T. Castellana and D.H. Russell, Tailoring Nanoparticle Surface Chemistry to Enhance Laser Desorption of Ionization of Peptides and Proteins, *NanoLetters*, vol. 7, No. 10, 2007, pp. 3023-3025, ACS Publications, published on web Sep. 21, 2007.
- Y.F. Huang, S. Chattopadhyay, Y.J. Jen, C.Y. Peng, T.A. Liu, Y.K. Hsu, C.L. Pan, H.C. Lo, C.H. Hsu, Y.H. Chang, C.S. Lee, K.H. Chen and L.C. Chen, Improved broadband and quasi-omnidirectional anti-reflection properties with biomimetic silicon nanostructures, *Nature nanotechnology Letters*, vol. 2, Dec. 2007, pp. 770-774, Nature Publishing Group, published online Dec. 2, 2007, www.nature.com/naturenanotechnology.
- H.F. Hamann, A. Gallagher, and D.J. Nesbitt, Near-field fluorescence imaging by localized field enhancement near a sharp probe tip, *Applied Physics Letters*, vol. 76, No. 14, Apr. 3, 2000, American Institute of Physics.
- C. Girard, Near fields in nanostructures, *Reports on Progress in Physics*, vol. 68, 2005, pp. 1883-1933, Institute of Physics Publishing, IOP Publishing Ltd, printed in the UK.
- H. Fischer and O.J.F. Martin, Polarization sensitivity of optical resonant dipole antennas, *Journal of the European Optical Society—Rapid Publications*, vol. 3, 2008, pp. 08018-1-01018-4, www.jeos.org, published May 8, 2008.
- J.N. Farahani, D.W. Pohl, H.J. Eisler, and B. Hecht, Single Quantum Dot Coupled to a Scanning Optical Antenna: A Tunable Superemitter, *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 95, Jul. 1, 2005, pp. 017402-1-017402-4, The American Physical Society, published Jun. 28, 2005.
- E. Cubukcu, E.A. Kort, K.B. Crozier, and F. Capasso, Plasmonic laser antenna, *Applied Physics Letters*, vol. 89, 2006, pp. 093120-1-093120-3, American Institute of Physics, published online Aug. 31, 2006.
- K.B. Crozier, A. Sundaramurthy, G.S. Kino, and C.F. Quate, Optical antennas: Resonators for local field enhancement, *Journal of Applied Physics*, vol. 94, No. 7, Oct. 1, 2003, pp. 4632-4643, American Institute of Physics.
- S. Coyle, M.C. Netti, J.J. Baumberg, M.A. Ghanem, P.R. Birkin, P.N. Bartlett, and D.M. Whittaker, Confined Plasmons in Metallic Nanocavities, *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 87, No. 17, Oct. 22, 2001, pp. 176801-1-176801-4, The American Physical Society.
- G. Chen, Ballistic-Diffusive Heat-Conduction Equations, *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 86, No. 11, Mar. 12, 2001, pp. 2297-2300, The American Physical Society.
- J.L. Bohn, D.J. Nesbitt, and A. Gallagher, Field enhancement in apertureless near-field scanning optical microscopy, *J. Opt. Soc. Am. A*, vol. 18, No. 12, Dec. 2001, pp. 2998-2306, Optical Society of America.
- P. Anger, P. Bharadwaj, and L. Novotny, Enhancement and Quenching of Single-Molecule Fluorescence, *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 96, Mar. 24, 2006, pp. 113002-1-113002-4, The American Physical Society, published Mar. 21, 2006.
- S.A. Akhmanov, V.I. Emelyanov, N.I. Koroteev, and V.N. Semínogov, Interaction of powerful laser radiation with the surfaces of semiconductors and metals: nonlinear optical effects and nonlinear optical diagnostics, *Sov. Phys. Usp.*, vol. 28, Dec. 1985, pp. 1084-1122, American Institute of Physics.
- K. Biemann, Contributions of Mass Spectrometry to Peptide and Protein Structure, *Biomedical and Environmental Mass Spectrometry*, vol. 16, 1988, pp. 99-111, John Wiley & Sons. Ltd.
- V. Zorba, L. Persano, D. Pisignano, A. Athanassiou, E. Stratakis, R. Cingolani, P. Tzanetakis, and C. Fotakis, Making silicon hydrophobic: wettability control by two-lengthscale simultaneous patterning with femtosecond laser irradiation, *Institute of Physics Publishing, Nanotechnology*, vol. 17, 2006, pp. 3234-3238, IOP Publishing Ltd., printed in the UK.
- V. Zorba, P. Tzanetakis, C. Fotakis, E. Spanakis, E. Stratakis, D. G. Papazoglou, and I. Zergioti, Silicon electron emitters fabricated by ultraviolet laser pulses, *Applied Physics Letters*, vol. 88, 2006, pp. 081103-1-081103-3, American Institute of Physics, published online Feb. 21, 2006.
- R. W. Vachet, A.D. Winders, and G.L. Glush, Correlation of Kinetic Energy Losses in High-Energy Collision-Induced Dissociation with Observed Peptide Product Ions, *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 68, No. 3, Feb. 1, 1996, pp. 522-526, American Chemical Society.
- T.H. Taminiau, F.D. Stefani, F.B. Sergerink, and N.F. Van Hulst, Optical antennas direct single-molecule emission, *Nature photonics*,

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

- Letters, vol. 2, Apr. 2008, pp. 234-237, Nature Publishing Group, www.nature.com/naturephotonics, published online Mar. 16, 2008.
- J.A. Stolee, Y. Chen, and A. Vertes, High-Energy Fragmentation in Nanophotonic Ion Production by Laser-Induced Silicon Microcolumn Arrays, *Journal of Physical Chemistry*, vol. 114, 2010, pp. 5574-5581, American Chemical Society, published on web Oct. 19, 2009.
- R. Stockle, P. Setz, V. Deckert, T. Lippert, A. Wokaun, and R. Zenobi, Nanoscale Atmospheric Pressure Laser Ablation-Mass Spectrometry, *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 73, No. 7, Apr. 1, 2001, pp. 1399-1402, American Chemical Society, published on web Feb. 23, 2001.
- E.J. Sanchez, L. Novotny, and X.S. Xie, Near-Field Fluorescence Microscopy Based on Two-Photon Excitation with Metal Tips, *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 82, No. 20, May 17, 1999, pp. 4014-4017, The American Physical Society.
- A. Plech, V. Kotaidis, M. Lorenc, and J. Boneberg, Femtosecond laser near-field ablation from gold nanoparticles, *Nature Physics*, Letters, vol. 2, Jan. 2006, pp. 44-48, Nature Publishing Group, www.nature.com/naturephysics, published online Dec. 18, 2005.
- H. Nair, A. Somogyi, and V.H. Wysocki, Effect of Alkyl Substitution at the Amide Nitrogen on Amide Bond Cleavage: Electrospray Ionization/Surface-induced Dissociation Fragmentation of Substance P and Two Alkylated Analogs, *Journal of Mass Spectrometry*, vol. 31, 1996, pp. 1141-1148, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
- R. Muhschlegel, H.J. Eisler, O.J.F. Martin, B. Hecht, and D.W. Pohl, Resonant Optical Antennas, *Science Reports*, vol. 308, Jun. 10, 2005, pp. 1607-1609, www.sciencemag.org.
- J. Merlein, M. Kahl, A. Zuschlag, A. Sell, A. Halm, J. Boneberg, P. Leiderer, A. Leitenstorfer, and R. Bratschitsch, Nanomechanical control of an optical antenna, *Nature Photonics*, Letters, 2008, pp. 1-3, Nature Publishing Group, Advance Online Publication, www.nature.com/naturephotonics, published online Mar. 2, 2008.
- O.J.F. Martin and C. Girard, Controlling and tuning strong optical field gradients at a local probe microscope tip apex, *Applied Physics, Letters*, vol. 70, No. 6, Feb. 10, 1997, pp. 705-707, American Institute of Physics.
- A. A. Joshi and A. Majumdar, Transient ballistic and diffusive phonon heat transport in thin films, *Journal of Applied Physics*, vol. 74, No. 1, Jul. 1, 1993, pp. 31-39, American Institute of Physics.
- D. J. Hwang, A. Chimmalgi, and C.P. Grigoropoulos, Ablation of thin metal films by short-pulsed lasers coupled through near-field scanning optical microscopy probes, *Journal of Applied Physics*, vol. 99, 2006, pp. 0044905-1-044905-10, American Institute of Physics.
- J.E. Carey, Femtosecond-laser Microstructuring of Silicon for Novel Optoelectronic Devices, Thesis presented to the Division of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts, Jul. 2004, 146 pages.
- P. Bhatnagar, S.S. Mark, I. Kim, H. Chen, B. Schmidt, M. Lipson, and C.A. Batt, Dendrimer-Scaffold-Based Electron-Beam Patterning of Biomolecules, *Advanced Materials*, Copyright Wiley-VCH 2006, pp. 315-319, www.advmat.de.
- S.A. Akhmanov, V.I. Emelyanov, N.I. Koroteev, and V.N. Semingov, Interaction of powerful laser radiation with the surfaces of semiconductors and metals: nonlinear optical effects and nonlinear optical diagnostics, American Institute of Physics, *Sov. Phys. Usp.* Dec. 28, 1985, pp. 1084-1124.
- B.N. Walker, J.A. Stolee, D.L. Pickel, S.T. Retterer, and A. Vertes, Tailored Silicon Nanopost Arrays for Resonant Nanophotonic Ion Production, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry*, American Chemical Society, vol. 114, No. 11, Mar. 25, 2010, pp. 4835-4840, pubs.acs.org/jpc.
- A. Westman, T. Huth-Fehre, P. Demirev, J. Bielawski, N. Medina, and B. U.R. Sundqvist, Matrix-assisted Laser Desorption/Ionization; Dependence of the Ion Yield on the Laser Beam Incidence Angle, *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry*, vol. 8, 1994, pp. 388-393, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
- B. Schmidt, V. Almeida, C. Manolatu, S. Preble, and M. Lipson, Nanocavity in a silicon waveguide for ultrasensitive nanoparticle detection, *American Institute of Physics, Applied Physics Letters* vol. 85, No. 21, Nov. 22, 2004, pp. 4854-4856.
- A. J. Pedraza, Y.F. Fuan, J.D. Fowlkes, and D.A. Smith, Nanostructures produced by ultraviolet laser irradiation of silicon. I. Rippled structures. *American Vacuum Society, J. Vac. Sci. Techno. B.* vol. 22, No. 6, Nov./Dec. 2004, pp. 2823-2835.
- A.P. Quist, T. Huth-Fehre, and B.U.R. Sundqvist, Total Yield Measurements in matrix-assisted Laser Desorption Using a Quartz Crystal Microbalance, Division of Ion Physics, Department of Radiation Sciences, Uppsala University, Revised Dec. 24, 1993, 6 pages.
- Coon et al., Laser-Desorption-Atmospheric Pressure Chemical Ionization Mass Spectrometry for the Analysis of Peptides from Aqueous Solution, *Analytical Chemistry*, Nov. 1, 2002, vol. 74, No. 21, pp. 5600-5605.
- Rasmussen et al., New Dimension in Nano-Imaging: Breaking Through the Diffraction Limit with Scanning Near-Field Optical Microscopy, *Anal Bioanal Chem.*, 2005, vol. 381, pp. 165-172.
- Takubo Kenji, Ionizing Device and Mass Spectrometer Using the Same, (EPO application 2003335002, published Apr. 14, 2005).
- Huang, Min-Zong et al., Direct Protein Detection from Biological Media through Electrospray Assisted Laser Desorption Ionization/Mass Spectrometry, *Journal of Proteome Research*, vol. 5, No. 5, 2006, pp. 1107-1116.
- Takats, Zoltan et al., Mass Spectrometry Sampling Under Ambient Conditions with Desorption Electrospray Ionization, *Science Magazine*, vol. 306, Oct. 15, 2004, pp. 471-473.
- Cody, Robert B., et al., Versatile New Ion Source for the Analysis of Materials in Open Air under Ambient Conditions, *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 77, No. 8, Apr. 15, 2005, pp. 2297-2302.
- Cuiffi et al., Desorption-Ionization Mass Spectrometry Using Deposited Nanostructured Silicon Films, *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 73, No. 6, Mar. 15, 2001, pp. 1292-1295.
- Walker, Bennet et al., Laser Induced Silicon Microcolumn Arrays As a Matrix-Free Platform for the Analysis of Pharmaceuticals and Peptides by Soft Laser Desorption/Ionization Mass Spectrometry, The George Washington University, Washington, D.C., 2007.

* cited by examiner





Figure 1



(A) (B)
Figure 2: Mass spectra, signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) and mass resolution for substance P analyte from (A) NAPA and (B) LISMA platforms.




Figure 3: Strong protonated molecular signal is observed in the mass spectrum of 6 attomoles of verapamil indicating an ultralow limit of detection.




Figure 4




Figure 5




Figure 6




Figure 7: (A) Slender nanowires with 100 nm diameter before exposure to the desorption laser pulse. (B) and (C) Exposure of these thin posts to a high fluence desorption laser pulse results in the deformation of nanowires due to transient melting. Such melting and deformation was not observed for posts of larger diameter.




Figure 8: As the fluence of the desorption laser increases, the survival yield of ions from an organic molecule (verapamil) on NAPA (D = 200 nm, H = 1000 nm and P = 500 nm) gradually decreases. Insets in the figure are the spectra at two selected fluence levels.





Figure 9: Ion yield as a function of the angle between the plane of polarization and the axes of the posts for NAPA (black squares) ($D = 200$ nm, $H = 1000$ nm and $P = 500$ nm) and for MALDI (red circles) at constant fluence.



(A)

Figure 10A






Figure 10(B)



(A)



(B)

Figures 11A and 11B




Figure 11C




Figure 12




Figure 13

**TAILORED NANOPOST ARRAYS (NAPA)
FOR LASER DESORPTION IONIZATION IN
MASS SPECTROMETRY**

STATEMENT OF PRIORITY

This application claims priority to U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 61/167,442, filed Apr. 7, 2009, the disclosure of which is hereby incorporated by reference herein.

STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY
SPONSORED RESEARCH

This invention was made with government support under grant number DEFG02-01ER15129 from the Department of Energy. The U.S. Government has certain rights in this invention.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

1. Field of the Invention

The field of the invention is mass spectrometry (MS), and more specifically nanoposts and nanopost arrays having specific geometries for improved ion yield in laser desorption ionization mass spectrometry.

2. Description of the Related Art

Laser desorption ionization mass spectrometry (LDI-MS) of organic molecules and biomolecules provides chemical analysis with great selectivity and sensitivity. Presently available methods generally rely on the interaction of laser radiation with a matrix material or with nanoporous substrates for the production of ions. Examples of these techniques include matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization (MALDI), desorption ionization on silicon (DIOS), and nanostructure-initiator mass spectrometry (NIMS).

From laser shot to laser shot, these methods exhibit spontaneous fluctuations in ion yields. Ion yields can only be controlled by adjusting the fluence delivered to the surface.

However, conventional soft laser desorption ionization by MALDI requires a matrix for desorption, complicating sample preparation and adding spectral interferences. Further, laser-induced silicon microcolumn arrays (LISMA) do not provide a sufficiently wide range of geometries and thus cannot enable a tailored platform for laser desorption ionization MS. Additionally, in MALDI the surface chemistry cannot be conveniently altered and thus cannot provide additional control over the properties of the produced ions. Lastly, the prior art does not adequately address the use of microcolumns or nanoposts that are integrated with microfluidic devices.

Highly confined electromagnetic fields play an important role in the interaction of laser radiation with nanostructures. Near-field optics show great potential in manipulating light on a sub-micron or even on the molecular scale. Nanophotonics takes advantage of structures that exhibit features commensurate with the wavelength of the radiation. Among others it has been utilized for nanoparticle detection, for the patterning of biomolecules and for creating materials with unique optical properties. The latter include LISMA, produced by ultrafast laser processing of silicon surfaces, and are known to have uniformly high absorptance in the 0.2-2.4 μm wavelength range as well as superhydrophobic properties.

At sufficiently high laser intensities, the molecules adsorbed on these nanostructures undergo desorption, ionization and eventually exhibit unimolecular decomposition. The resulting ion fragmentation patterns can be used for

structure elucidation in MS. Accordingly, manipulation of ion production from biomolecules with photonic structures (i.e., photonic ion sources) based on the laser light-nanostructure interaction, is provided herein on nanofabricated and tailored nanopost arrays (NAPA).

Photonic ion sources based on array-type nanostructures, such as LISMA, can serve as platforms for LDI-MS for the detection and identification of various organic and biomolecules. Compared to conventional LDI-MS ion sources, e.g., MALDI, DIOS and NIMS, nanophotonic ion sources couple the laser energy to the nanostructures via a fundamentally different mechanism due to the quasiperiodic or periodic and oriented nature of the arrays. Nanophotonic ion sources show a dramatic disparity in the efficiency of ion production depending on the polarization and the angle of incidence of the laser. When the electric field of the radiation has a component that is parallel to the column axes (e. g., p-polarized beam) the desorption and ionization processes are efficient, whereas in case they are perpendicular (s-polarized beam) minimal or no ion production is observed. In addition, LISMA exhibit a strong directionality in ion production. The ion yield as a function of the incidence angle of an unpolarized laser beam decreases and ultimately vanishes as the incidence angle approaches 0°. This strong directionality in ion production is also a unique feature of NAPA.

Photonic ion sources, such as LISMA, rely on the quasiperiodic or periodic and oriented nature of the nanostructures with dimensions commensurate with the wavelength of the laser light. These photonic ion sources rely on unique nanophotonic interactions (e.g., near-field effects, plume confinement, and interference effects) between the electromagnetic radiation and the nanostructure on one hand, and the interaction of both with the surface-deposited sample molecules, on the other. These devices exhibit a strong control of ion production by varying laser radiation properties other than simple pulse energy, mainly through changes in the angle of incidence and the plane of polarization of the laser radiation. Tailoring the structural parameters of photonic ion sources (e.g., column diameter, column height and periodicity) enable further control over coupling the laser energy into the structure on a micro and nano scale. Combination of nanophotonic ion sources with miniaturized mass analyzers can lead to the development of integrated miniaturized mass spectrometers and analytical sensors.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

It is an object of the present invention to provide methods for making nanopost arrays for matrix-free analysis of a sample by mass spectrometry by generating a mask pattern for a nanopost array arrangement having specific properties by computer aided design, writing the mask pattern onto a substrate to form columnar structures and developing said columnar structures to a specific height. These processes rely on nanofabrication techniques that are well known in the art. The nanopost arrays may have specific characteristics that allow for increased ion production and molecular fragmentation.

It is a further object of the present invention to provide nanopost arrays made using the methods of the present invention. The nanopost arrays may be used for sample ionization and fragmentation during mass spectrometry analysis.

It is a further object of the present invention to provide mass spectrometry systems containing the nanopost arrays of the present invention. The mass spectrometry systems of

the present invention include mass spectrometers for analysis of molecules as are well known in the art.

It is a further object of the present invention to provide sensor devices containing the nanopost arrays of the present invention. The sensor devices may be used in the detection of a wide variety of samples, from small chemical molecules, up to large molecules, biomolecules and whole cells.

It is a further object of the present invention to provide a method for the analysis of a forensic sample by depositing the forensic sample onto a nanopost array of the present invention, desorbing the sample and detecting ions produced by the sample by mass spectrometry.

It is a further object of the present invention to provide a method for the analysis of an environmental sample by depositing the environmental sample onto a nanopost array of the present invention, desorbing the sample and detecting ions produced by the sample by mass spectrometry.

It is a further object of the present invention to provide a method for the analysis of a biomedical sample by depositing the biomedical sample onto a nanopost array of the present invention, desorbing the sample and detecting ions produced by the sample by mass spectrometry.

It is a further object of the present invention to provide a method for the analysis of a synthetic organic sample by depositing the synthetic organic sample onto a nanopost array of the present invention, desorbing the sample and detecting ions produced by the sample by mass spectrometry.

It is a still further object of the present invention to provide a method for harvesting solar energy by subjecting a nanopost array of the present invention having columnar structures with an electromagnetic radiation-capture coating to solar radiation and withdrawing the electrical charge produced by the electronically converted coating.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE FIGURES

FIG. 1: (A) Optical image of a silicon wafer with a 5×6 array of NAPA of varying post diameters and trough widths. (B) Microscope image of NAPA with 400 nm post diameter and 350 nm trough width shows unique color. (C) Scanning electron microscope (SEM) image at low magnification shows uniform post diameters and periodicity in NAPA. (D) SEM image shows the well defined boundaries at the corner of NAPA. (E) SEM image at high magnification shows NAPA with 100 nm post diameter and 450 nm trough width. (F) Sketch of NAPA with post height, H, diameter, D, and periodicity, P. The electric field vector of the incident laser beam, E_i , its orthogonal projections and relationship to the posts are also depicted.

FIG. 2: Mass spectra, signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) and mass resolution for substance P analyte from (A) NAPA and (B) LISMA platforms.

FIG. 3: Strong protonated molecular signal is observed in the mass spectrum of 6 attomoles of verapamil indicating an ultralow limit of detection.

FIG. 4: Relationship between the ion yield for substance P and NAPA dimensions, such as (A) post height for 200 nm post diameter and 450 nm periodicity, (B) post diameter for 1000 nm post height and 350 nm trough width, (C) post height/trough width ratio for 200 nm post diameter, and (D) post height/post diameter ratio for 350 nm trough width. Points in red (not connected) in FIGS. 4A and 4B show the corresponding values for LISMA.

FIG. 5: Ion yields for substance P as a function of NAPA periodicity, P, for five different post heights (H=400, 800, 1000, 1200 and 1500 nm). The post diameters are fixed at

D=100 nm. The H=800, 1200 and 1500 nm posts show a maximum in ion yield at $P=\lambda=337$ nm, i.e., when the periodicity is equal to the laser wavelength, λ . The arrays made of longer posts, H=1000, 1200 and 1500 nm, also show a maximum in ion yield at $P=3\lambda/2=505$ nm.

FIG. 6: Survival yields of 4-methyl-benzylpyridinium ions (4M, critical energy=1.6 eV) desorbed from NAPA with 250 nm trough widths, 1000 nm post heights, and various post diameters: 50 nm (■), 100 nm (●), 200 (▲), 300 (▼), 400 (◆), and 500 (◀). This thermometer ion (TI) desorbed from NAPA with post diameters of 100 nm exhibited decreasing survival yields as the fluence was increased whereas TIs desorbed from NAPA with larger post diameters had increasing survival yields as the fluence was increased.

FIG. 7: (A) Slender nanoposts with 100 nm diameter before exposure to the desorption laser pulse. (B) and (C) Exposure of these thin posts to a high fluence desorption laser pulse results in the deformation of nanoposts due to transient melting. Such melting and deformation was not observed for posts of larger diameter.

FIG. 8: As the fluence of the desorption laser increases, the survival yield of ions from an organic molecule (verapamil) on NAPA (D=200 nm, H=1000 nm and P=500 nm) gradually decreases. Insets in the figure are the spectra at two selected fluence levels.

FIG. 9: Ion yield as a function of the angle between the plane of polarization and the axes of the posts for NAPA (squares) (D=200 nm, H=1000 nm and P=500 nm) and for MALDI (circles) at constant fluence.

FIG. 10: (A) Schematic of NAPA with post height, H, diameter, D, and periodicity, P, indicates the relationship between the electric field vector of the incident laser beam propagating in k direction, E_i , its orthogonal projections, and the current, J, induced in the post. (B) Scanning electron microscope image of a NAPA segment with D=100 nm, H=1,000 nm and P=350 nm shows uniform periodicity and height. The posts have vertical walls with minimum tapering.

FIG. 11: (A) Molecular ions in the mass spectrum of substance P produced on NAPA at low laser fluences. The inset shows the $[M+H]^+$ isotope distribution that corresponds to the calculated pattern. (B) Substance P ion yields as a function of post aspect ratios show strong maxima for slender posts. (C) For a given diameter (D=200 nm), at the optimum aspect ratio, H/D=6, increasing periodicity, P=450 nm (■), 500 nm (●), 550 nm (►), and 600 nm (▲), results in higher ion yields. Lorentzian fits for P=450 and 600 nm represent the data with $R^2=0.94$ and 0.98 regression coefficients, respectively.

FIG. 12: Normalized ion yields of verapamil (■) and bradykinin (○) as a function of polarization angle, ϕ , at a constant fluence (24 mJ/cm²). Ion production seems to be a threshold process.

FIG. 13: After irradiation by a $\tau=5$ ns laser pulse of 6×10^6 W/cm² power density, calculated silicon nanopost surface temperatures show a strong dependence on the post diameter in the sub-micrometer range. The SEM images in the insets indicate that posts with ~100 nm diameter exhibit signs of transient melting.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

Abbreviations/Definitions/Guides

LDI-MS—Laser Desorption Ionization Mass Spectrometry.

MALDI—Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption Ionization.

DIOS—Desorption Ionization on Silicon.

NIMS—Nanostructure Initiator Mass Spectrometry.

LISMA—Laser-Induced Silicon Microcolumn Array. LISMA is a quasi-periodic structure, where the laser produced microcolumns are oriented outward of the silicon wafer surface.

NAPA—Nanopost Array.

NANOPOSTS—nanoscale columnar structures made of, e.g., silicon with dimensions that do not vary substantially across an array. For example, a nanopost array that has columns having a height of 1200 nm (± 120 nm), a diameter of 200 nm (± 20 nm) and a periodicity of 600 nm (± 60 nm). Uniformity may be defined, individually or in combination, by the height, diameter, or periodicity/trough width of the nanostructure. Uniformity from nanofabrication techniques is in favorable contrast to nanostructures made by other methods, e.g., laser induced nanostructures.

SLDI—Soft Laser Desorption Ionization

NANOFABRICATION—the use of nanolithography, optionally in combination with reactive ion etching (RIE), to create nanostructures such as NAPA.

NANOLITHOGRAPHY—masked and maskless nanolithographic processes.

MASKLESS NANOLITHOGRAPHY is where a focused radiation beam is used to write an image directly, pixel by pixel onto a photoresist. Maskless nanolithography includes, without limitation, e-beam lithography, focused ion beam, optical, and probe tip/dip-pen.

MASKED NANOLITHOGRAPHY uses a radiation beam to transfer a pattern from a photomask to a sensitive chemical (photoresist, or simply “resist”) on the substrate. A series of chemical treatments then engraves the exposure pattern into the material underneath the photoresist.

NAPA as a Nanophotonic Ion Source

Highly confined electromagnetic fields play an important role in the interaction of laser radiation with nanostructures. Near-field optics show great potential in manipulating light on a sub-micron or even on the molecular scale. Nanophotonics takes advantage of structures that exhibit features commensurate with the wavelength of the radiation. Among others it has been utilized for nanoparticle detection, for the patterning of biomolecules and for creating materials with unique optical properties.

Here, silicon nanopost arrays (NAPA), produced by nanofabrication of silicon surfaces, produce highly uniform nano-scale structures with controlled geometries. NAPA exhibit high absorbance in the 0.2-2.4 μ m wavelength range as well as superhydrophobic properties. At sufficiently high laser intensities, the molecules adsorbed on these nanostructures undergo desorption, ionization and eventually exhibit unimolecular decomposition. The resulting ion fragmentation patterns can be used for structure elucidation in mass spectrometry. Importantly, NAPA enable the ultrasensitive MS detection of low mass molecules without external spectral interference since NAPA can directly desorb and ionize analytes subjected to pulsed laser irradiation without the use of matrix molecules.

Here, we describe the production and use of NAPA that harvest light from a laser pulse to produce ions detectable using mass spectrometry and which provide for the identification of sample molecules/adsorbates. The production and use of NAPA made by nanofabrication provides improved ion yields for detecting sample adsorbates during mass spectrometry. Further, these photonic ion sources in combination with the specific geometry of the specially designed nanoposts enable an enhancement and better control of ion

production on a micro/nano scale and its direct integration with miniaturized analytical devices.

In a preferred embodiment, there is provided a process for making a silicon nanopost array for detection of a sample through producing ions (photonic ion source) in mass spectrometry, said array adapted to be in cooperative association with a desorption laser having a specific wavelength, said process comprising the steps of: generating by computer aided design (CAD) a nanopost array pattern having a nanopost diameter of about 50 nm to about 800 nm and a periodicity of from about 100 nm to about 1600 nm; writing said pattern using nanolithography onto a silicon wafer made from low resistivity p-type silicon to produce processed areas that are covered with columnar structures having said diameter and said periodicity; developing said processed areas to produce columnar structures comprising a height of about 100 nm to about 2000 nm.

In certain embodiments, the columnar structures are developed by reactive ion etching (RIE).

In certain embodiments of the present invention, there are provided silicon nanopost arrays made by the processes described herein, as well as miniaturized analytical devices such as microfluidic devices, incorporating the silicon nanopost array therein.

In certain embodiments of the present invention, the nanopost arrays are made from silicon substrates. However, it is also contemplated that the arrays may be made from other semiconducting substrate materials, such as germanium, gallium arsenide and the like.

In another embodiment of the present invention, there is provided a laser desorption ionization mass spectrometry system containing i) a silicon nanopost array for holding surface deposited target molecules; ii) a pulsed laser for producing unpolarized or plane polarized radiation impinging on the target molecules for desorption ionization; iii) focusing optics based on lenses, mirrors or a sharpened optical fiber for directing the laser radiation at the nanostructure and the target molecules; and iv) a mass spectrometer for analyzing and detecting the ions that are desorbed from the nanopost array.

In certain embodiments of the present invention, the target molecules for use with the nanopost arrays are selected from the group consisting of pharmaceuticals, dyes, explosives or explosive residues, narcotics, polymers, biomolecules, chemical warfare agents and their signatures, peptides, metabolites, lipids, oligosaccharides, proteins and other biomolecules, synthetic organics, drugs, and toxic chemicals.

In certain other embodiments of the present invention, the nanopost arrays may be used in the detection of microorganisms, such as unicellular eukaryotes, for example, fungi, prokaryotes, for example, bacteria, and viruses, including microorganisms involved in biological warfare. The microorganisms may be applied to the nano device or may be grown directly on the device. For example, microorganisms may be directly grown in a nanopost array, or may be grown in microfluidic growth chambers as part of a nano device. In certain embodiments of the present invention, a nanopost array may be used for the detection of 1000 or fewer, or 100 or fewer or 10 or fewer microorganism cells or viruses. In still other embodiments of the present invention, the nanopost arrays may be used for detecting a single microorganism cell or virus.

In certain embodiments of the present invention, there are also provided methods for the direct chemical analysis of a nanopost-deposited sample by mass spectrometry, including the steps of: subjecting a sample to laser desorption ioniza-

tion using a photonic ion source; and analyzing the desorbed ions using mass spectrometry; wherein the sample is adsorbed onto the silicon nanopost array, wherein the sample is selected, for example, from the group consisting of pharmaceuticals, dyes, explosives, narcotics, polymers, cells, tissue samples, and biomolecules.

In certain embodiments of the present invention, the laser desorption ionization is performed using a p-polarized laser beam.

The systems of the present invention may be used to provide for enhanced control over ion production and sample molecule fragmentation by adjusting the polarity of the radiation of the desorption laser. In preferred embodiments of the invention, molecule fragmentation and ion production is increased while the plane of polarization of the laser radiation is rotated from s-polarized to p-polarized. Without wishing to be bound by theory, it appears that p-polarized laser light is significantly more efficiently absorbed by the nanopost structures than s-polarized laser light. This appears to result in large post temperature differences, which translate into differences in desorption efficiency and ion yield.

In other embodiments, the present invention encompasses methods for increasing molecular fragmentation and ion production by adjusting the polarization angle of the radiation of the desorption laser. As is described above, in certain embodiments of the invention, the molecular fragmentation and ion production increases as the polarization of the laser radiation is rotated from s-polarized to p-polarized. Once a sample to be analyzed is applied to an array of the present invention, fragmentation and ion production can be increased by rotating the polarization plane of the laser radiation towards p-polarization and decreased by rotating the polarization plane of the laser radiation towards s-polarization. This method allows for control over fragmentation and ionization without the need to attenuate the desorption laser. It also allows for changes to be made in the fragmentation and ion production of a sample within a single system setup.

As a non-limiting example, once a sample is applied to a nanopost array, the array may initially be irradiated with s-polarized light, causing little to no ionization and fragmentation. The plane of the radiation may then be gradually rotated towards p-polarization as is desired by the operator. As the radiation is rotated, the ion production will increase initially producing no or only a few fragments, allowing for the detection of intact molecular ions by the mass spectrometer. For instance, the plane of the radiation may be rotated towards p-polarization in a manner so that the molecular ion peaks, are first detected, followed by increased fragmentation and detection of smaller fragments. Using the methods of the present invention, a broad variety of fragments and ions can be produced and detected from a single system setup.

In another embodiment of the present invention, there is provided a method for direct chemical analysis further comprising the step of controlling ion production by varying laser radiation properties through changes in the angle of incidence and/or the plane of polarization.

In another embodiment of the present invention, there is provided a method of direct chemical analysis further comprising the step of controlling or optimizing ion production by varying the laser radiation/energy coupling properties through changes in the dimensions and periodicity of the nanoposts.

In certain embodiments of the present invention, there are provided nanopost arrays and methods for using them that

provide resonant ion production and fragmentation. Certain nanopost arrays of the present invention may show resonance-like behavior leading to enhanced ion production and fragmentation. In certain embodiments, an array with a post diameter of 200 nm and an aspect ratio of 6 shows resonance-like behavior. In other embodiments, an array with a post diameter of 100 nm and an aspect ratio of 10 shows resonance-like behavior. In still other embodiments, an array with a post diameter of 300 nm and an aspect ratio of 4 shows resonance-like behavior. It is also contemplated that other arrays having different post diameters and aspect ratios may show resonance-like behavior, with the general trend that a decrease in post diameter requires an increased aspect ratio to show resonance. Further examples of resonance-like behavior are shown in Example 2 below.

In another embodiment of the present invention, there is provided a method for analysis of a forensic sample, comprising depositing one or more forensic samples onto at least one nanopost array device; directly subjecting the sample without matrix to laser ionization; and detecting the ions using mass spectrometry.

In another embodiment of the present invention, there is provided a method for monitoring the environment, comprising: desorbing one or more environmental samples collected from an environment being tested onto at least one nanopost array device; directly subjecting the environmental sample without matrix to laser ionization; and detecting the ions using mass spectrometry.

In another embodiment of the present invention, there is provided a method of harvesting solar energy, comprising: subjecting one or more nanopost arrays to solar radiation, wherein said nanopost arrays comprise nanoscale columnar structures having one or more electromagnetic radiation-capture coatings, wherein said solar radiation converts said one or more electromagnetic radiation-capture coatings into an electronically converted coating, and withdrawing electronic charge from said electronically converted coating on said nanopost array to supply an electric current.

The systems and methods of the present invention provide many advantages over current systems. In contrast to the conventional soft laser desorption ionization methods, matrix molecules are not needed in the techniques of the present invention. Instead, the nanopost arrays can directly desorb and ionize the analyte upon pulsed laser irradiation. This simplifies the sample preparation and eliminates spectral interferences created by the matrix molecules.

In addition, unlike laser-induced silicon microcolumn arrays (LISMA), NAPA can be produced with a wide range of geometries enabling a controlled platform for laser desorption ionization MS.

Further, the surface chemistry of NAPA can be altered through standard procedures in microelectronics which creates additional control over the yield and properties of the produced ions.

Still further, the nanofabrication of NAPA lends itself to integration with microfluidic devices.

Various laser sources may be used for sample desorption with the systems and methods of the present invention, including gas lasers such as nitrogen, argon, carbon dioxide and helium-neon lasers, and solid-state lasers, including lasers with solid-state crystals such as yttrium orthovanadate (YVO₄), yttrium lithium fluoride (YLF) and yttrium aluminum garnet (YAG) and with dopants such as neodymium, ytterbium, holmium, thulium, and erbium. The NAPA ion production from biomolecules can be manipulated with photonic structures (i.e., photonic ion sources) based on the

laser light-nanostructure interaction to improve ion production efficiency and analytical sensitivity.

In certain embodiments, the systems of the present invention are designed using computer aided design. The desorption of samples, including control of the desorption laser, and the analysis of ions produced by the samples may also be controlled by computer. The computing platforms used with the invention perform various functions and operations in accordance with the invention. The computing platform can be, for instance, a personal computer (PC), server or mainframe computer. The computing platform can be a general purpose computer reconfigured by a computer program, or may be specially constructed to implement the features and operations of the system. The computing platform may also be provided with one or more of a wide variety of components or subsystems including, for example, a processor, co-processor, register, data processing devices and subsystems, wired or wireless communication links, input devices, monitors, memory or storage devices such as a database.

All or parts of the system and processes can be stored on or read from computer-readable media. The system can include computer-readable medium having stored thereon machine executable instructions for performing the processes described. Computer readable media may include, for instance, secondary storage devices, such as hard disks, floppy disks, and CD-ROM; a carrier wave received from the Internet; or other forms of computer-readable memory such as read-only memory (ROM) or random-access memory (RAM).

It will be clear to a person of ordinary skill in the art that the above embodiments and Examples below may be altered or that insubstantial changes may be made without departing from the scope of the invention. Accordingly, the scope of the invention should be determined by the scope of the following claims and their equitable Equivalents.

EXAMPLES

Example 1

Manufacturing

Nanopost array arrangements were generated by computer aided design (CAD) with the desired post diameter (50 nm to 600 nm) and periodicity (150 nm to 1000 nm) combinations. Mask patterns may be written onto low-resistivity, p-type silicon wafers by nanolithographic production techniques. These may include, without limitation, masked and maskless lithographic processes. Examples of maskless nanolithographic processes include e-beam lithography, focused ion beam, optical, and probe tip/dip-pen.

To achieve ion production using a polarized beam, a plane-polarized beam source is used. A non-limiting example may be a Glan-Taylor calcite polarizer to produce a plane-polarized beam from the laser radiation (for example nitrogen laser at 337 nm wavelength) at polarization angles between s-polarized and p-polarized orientation.

Desorption experiments are conducted according to otherwise standard processes, while maintaining a pulse energy of, for example, approximately 10 μ J.

The orientation of the posts with respect to the beam direction is highly uniform in NAPA since on NAPA substrates the nanopost orientation is perpendicular to the wafer and the mean periodicity of the structure is commensurate with the laser wavelength. Accordingly, the ionization efficiencies of pharmaceuticals and small peptides may be

studied as a function of NAPA geometry, focusing on the effect of post diameter, periodicity and post height. And, when the use of a p-polarized beam is added, there is a further increase in ion signal.

The nanofabrication of NAPA greatly enhances its utility as a platform for SLDI-MS based on the strong uniformity of the array and the ability to manipulate the post geometries. The foreseen application of NAPA as an ionization platform includes the detection of a broad range of pharmaceuticals, dyes, explosives or explosive residues, narcotics, polymers, biomolecules, chemical warfare agents and their signatures, peptides, metabolites, lipids, oligosaccharides, proteins and other biomolecules, synthetic organics, drugs, and toxic chemicals with minimal to no interference and ultra-low limits of detection along with structure specific fragmentation capabilities. In addition, NAPA exhibits a unique photonic control of ion production through the manipulation of light on the micro/nano scale leading to the direct integration with microfluidic devices.

Modified or surface derivatized NAPA are included within the scope of the present inventive subject matter. For example, silylated NAPA may be created by oxidizing the wafers in ozone and then treating them with (pentafluorophenyl)-propyldimethylchlorosilane to produce perfluorophenyl (PFP)-derivatized surfaces. These surfaces exhibit enhanced ion yields and reduced fragmentation of the produced ions.

Time-of-flight mass spectrometers may be used for laser desorption ionization experiments. For internal energy measurements, eight benzyl-substituted benzylpyridinium cations with a range of critical energies may be used as thermometer ions (TIs). Survival yields of the molecular ions in unimolecular decomposition may be determined from the mass spectra and correlated to the internal energy of the ions using the RRKM theory. The internal energy of the ions is an important factor that governs ion fragmentation. Ion fragmentation patterns can be used in the structure identification of the related molecule.

NAPA may be produced with column heights ranging from 100 nm to 2000 nm, the diameters of the nanoposts ranging from 50 nm to 800 nm and array periodicities ranging from 100 nm to 1600 nm. Our initial findings revealed that NAPA produced with \sim 200 nm post diameters and \sim 1200 nm post heights resulted in the greatest ion intensities (see FIGS. 4A and 4B). Ion yields for substance P as a function of NAPA periodicity, P, for five different post heights (H=400, 800, 1000, 1200 and 1500 nm) revealed that the H=800, 1200 and 1500 nm posts showed a maximum in ion yield at $P \sim \lambda = 337$ nm, i.e., when the periodicity was close to the laser wavelength, λ (see FIG. 5). The arrays made of longer posts, H=1000, 1200 and 1500 nm, also showed a maximum in ion yield at $P \sim 3\lambda/2 = 505$ nm. In these experiments the post diameters were fixed at D=100 nm.

As a function of the ratio of post heights and trough widths, H/(P-D), there was negligible ion production below a ratio of 2 and a maximum ion yield at a ratio of \sim 2.5 to 3 (see FIG. 4C). This indicated that the trough dimensions played a role in the ion formation, probably through affecting plume confinement and expansion dynamics.

To gain further insight into the ionization mechanism and how varying the NAPA parameters impacted their ability to couple laser energy into the adsorbates, survival yield experiments were conducted to probe the internal energy of ions. The ions desorbed from NAPA with diameters ranging from 200 nm to 500 nm had steady survival yields at low to medium fluences, and at higher fluences the survival yields

increased. In contrast to these findings, NAPA with post diameters of 100 nm exhibited decreasing survival yields as the laser fluence was increased (see FIG. 6). This dramatic disparity indicated that these thinner columns induced a different desorption ionization mechanism. The different desorption ionization regimes were rationalized in terms of the relationship between the laser penetration depth, heat conduction length, and post diameter.

Without being limited to any particular theory, one possible explanation of the improvement seen in p-polarized beams vs. s-polarized beams may be based on the difference in laser radiation-surface coupling for axial vs. transverse excitation of the columns. For example, when the height of the columns is ~2 times the wavelength of the desorption laser, this structure and its electrostatic image in the "ground plane" of the bulk substrate would add to form an efficient antenna for p-polarized, but not for s-polarized, light. It seems likely, therefore, that p-polarized laser light is significantly more efficiently absorbed by the columns than s-polarized. This will result in large post temperature differences, which translate into differences in desorption efficiency and ion yield.

In a simple picture, the absorption efficiency depends on the projection of the electric field from a light wave polarized in the ϕ_i plane onto the microcolumns protruding perpendicular to the substrate, $E_{\perp}=E_i \sin \theta_i \cos \phi_i$. Thus the part of the laser intensity that is axially absorbed in the columns can be expressed as:

$$I_{195}=I_i \sin^2 \theta_i \cos^2 \phi_i, \quad (1)$$

where the incident light intensity is $I_i=c\epsilon_0 E_i^2/2$. The extrema of Eq. (1) are consistent with our experimental observations. For right angle illumination ($\theta_i=0^\circ$ with light of any polarization, there is no axial absorption because $I_{\perp}(\theta_i=0^\circ)=0$. For a non-zero angle of incidence, e.g., $\theta_i=45^\circ$, p-polarized beams with $\phi_i=180^\circ$ result in maximum energy deposition, whereas for s-polarized radiation, $\phi_i=90^\circ$ no axial modes are excited.

In the laser desorption of adsorbates, the aspect ratio of troughs, $H/(P-D)$, where $(P-D)$ is the width of the troughs, impacts a different set of processes. The wide set of geometries achievable for NAPA provide an opportunity to systematically explore these effects. The ability to retain residual solvents and large amounts of adsorbates increases with $H/(P-D)$. Nanoporous desorption substrates in desorption ionization on silicon (DIOS) and in nanostructure-initiator mass spectrometry (NIMS) are extreme examples of high trough aspect ratio structures. As the laser pulse produces a plume from these species, due to confinement effects, the plume density, persistence and chemistry are enhanced for high trough aspect ratios.

The ion production properties of NAPA described above represent an example of nanophotonically modulated ion sources. Due to their structure, energy coupling between the NAPA and the laser radiation is fundamentally different from MALDI, DIOS and NIMS. Thus, they enable the control of ion production by varying the nanostructure geometries. Further control may be obtained by using laser radiation properties other than simple pulse energy, in particular the angle of incidence and the plane of polarization. Accordingly, nanostructure geometries and photonic ion sources promise to enable enhanced control of ion production on a micro/nano scale and direct integration with microfluidic devices.

Experimental Section

Materials:

Low resistivity (0.001-0.005 $\Omega\cdot\text{cm}$) p-type mechanical grade, 280 ± 20 μm thick silicon wafers were purchased from University Wafer. Water, xylenes, reagent grade acetone and isopropanol (IPA), substance P, bradykinin, verapamil, and leucine enkephalin were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

Nanopost Array Production:

The desired nanopost array patterns were initially generated by a computer-aided design (CAD) program and were used for e-beam lithography. Initial parameters consisted of rectangular packed nanoposts with diameters of 50-600 nm and with trough widths of 100-600 nm.

Mechanical grade p-type silicon wafers (4" diameter) were spin coated by ~2 ml of Zep520A resist at 6000 rpm for 45 seconds and baked at 180°C . for 2 minutes. The e-beam resist coated silicon wafer was placed in a sample holder and inserted into the e-beam lithography instrument (E-Beam Lithography-JEOL JBX-9300 Electron Beam Lithography system at a 100 kV).

To remove the resist exposed to an electron beam, the processed wafers were soaked in xylenes for 30 seconds, washed with isopropanol (IPA), and blow dried in nitrogen gas. Afterwards, the wafer was descummed using a Technics Turbo 810 RIE reactive ion etching (RIE) system at 100 watts for 6 seconds.

100 \AA of chromium was deposited onto the wafer at the rate of 1 $\text{\AA}/\text{sec}$ using a thermal evaporator. Excess chromium was removed by sonication in an acetone bath for 2.5 minutes and then the wafer was rinsed in IPA and H_2O . Finally, the wafer was blow dried in nitrogen gas.

Various nanopost heights (~200 nm to ~1500 nm) were etched with an Oxford Plasmalab100 RIE/ICP Etcher PECVD system at an etching rate of ~100 nm/min. The resulting NAPA were characterized with an FEI Nova 600 SEM to confirm their dimensions.

Mass Spectrometry Experiments:

A Bruker Daltonics Autoflex II reflectron time-of-flight (TOF) mass spectrometer (MS) was used for initial desorption ionization experiments. NAPA were attached to a MALDI plate with conductive double-sided carbon tape. Solutions of analytes at a concentration of ~1 mg/mL were prepared and deposited onto the NAPA surface at a volume of 0.5 μL and air-dried. Due to the altered electric field and flight length as a result of the addition of the silicon substrate (~300 μm thick) to the target plate, the instrument had to be recalibrated to provide correct mass assignments. Spectra acquired were from 100 laser shot averages in reflectron mode.

Further experiments were conducted on a Kratos Axima III TOF-MS with curved field reflectron. The Kratos instrument used a nitrogen laser focused to ~100 μm diameter spot on the target with adjustable laser fluence. Spectra were acquired from 200 laser shot averages in reflectron mode using 2.5 kV extraction voltage with a 100 ns delay and a 20 kV accelerating voltage.

Survival Yield Experiments:

For laser desorption/ionization experiments 0.5 μL of $\sim 1\times 10^{-5}\text{M}$ solutions of benzyl substituted benzylpyridinium ions were deposited on NAPA and air-dried. Mass spectra were acquired with the Kratos instrument as described above and the appropriate peaks were integrated in time to calculate the survival yield (SY) of the molecular ion

$$SY = \frac{I(M^+)}{I(F^+) + I(M^+)}$$

where M^+ and F^+ are the abundances of the molecular ion and fragment ion, respectively, as the fluence was varied.

Polarization Experiments:

A 4-ns pulse length and 337 nm wavelength nitrogen laser (VSL-3337ND) was polarized using an uncoated Glan-Taylor calcite polarizer and attenuated with a continuously variable neutral density filter to maintain a consistent pulse energy of $\sim 10 \mu\text{J}$. A high precision rotation mount was used to rotate the plane of polarization from s- to p-polarized. The polarized beam was focused with a fused-silica lens onto the probe tip inside of a home-built TOF-MS creating a laser spot of $\sim 200 \mu\text{m}$.

Results and Discussion

FIG. 1 shows the optical (FIGS. 1A and 1B) and SEM images (FIGS. 1C, 1D and 1E) of the produced NAPA along with a sketch (FIG. 1F) indicating the design parameters and the interaction of electromagnetic radiation with the posts.

Figures of merit: Comparison of MALDI, LISMA and NAPA with respect to ion yield, signal-to-noise ratio (S/N), mass resolution, and matrix interference. FIG. 2 compares the typical mass spectra of substance P obtained on a NAPA substrate (FIG. 2A) and on LISMA (FIG. 2B). The NAPA platform provided superior signal-to-noise ratio and mass resolution. In the low mass range, compared to the LISMA and especially MALDI (not shown), the spectra from NAPA show dramatically lower chemical background.

The detection limit of verapamil is at least 6 attomoles (see FIG. 3) but the high S/N suggests that smaller amounts could also be detected.

Effect of NAPA Geometries:

The uniformity of NAPA enhances its utility as a platform for SLDI-MS. First, the uniformity of NAPA promotes spot-to-spot reproducibility during experiments, which is not observed in MALDI and less pronounced with LISMA. Second, the manipulation of NAPA geometries through nanofabrication enables parametric studies to explore the mechanism of ionization.

An in depth study was conducted on the effect of nanopost dimensions and periodicity on ionization efficiency. Verapamil and small peptides such as bradykinin, leucine enkephalin, and substance P were used in the experiments. Similar results were found for all analytes. Here, however, we only report the results for verapamil, substance P, and bradykinin. The ion yield of substance P was calculated by taking the sum of the intensities for the molecular ion peak and the corresponding quasi-molecular ion peaks.

Ionization efficiency was studied as a function of NAPA geometry using the following parameters: post height, post diameter, periodicity, trough width, post height/post diameter aspect ratio, post height/trough width aspect ratio, surface area and volume.

Post Height:

FIG. 4A shows that the ion yield of substance P as a function of nanopost height goes through a maximum. The strongest signal for posts of 200 nm diameter and 450 nm periodicity was observed at a height of 1200 nm. It is noted that when the nanoposts are taller than 1200 nm, ion yield drops significantly.

Post Diameter:

FIG. 4B shows that the ion yield of substance P as a function of nanopost diameter goes through a maximum. For 350 nm trough widths, the ion yield was highest at the diameter of 200 nm and decreased at larger diameters.

FIG. 7 shows that 100 nm posts become distorted when exposed to a high fluence desorption laser pulse. At high laser fluences, in addition to a decrease in signal the reso-

lution was greatly reduced and noise increased for 100 and 50 nm posts, most likely due to the deformation of the nanoposts.

NAPA Periodicity:

The effect of periodicity was most clearly revealed for systems with a periodicity-to-diameter ratio larger than three, $P/D > 3$. Ion yields for substance P as a function of NAPA periodicity, P, for five different post heights (H=400, 800, 1000, 1200 and 1500 nm) revealed that the H=800, 1200 and 1500 nm posts showed a maximum in ion yield at $P \sim \lambda = 337 \text{ nm}$, i.e., when the periodicity was close to the laser wavelength, λ (see FIG. 5). The arrays made of longer posts, H=1000, 1200 and 1500 nm, also showed a maximum in ion yield at $P \sim 3\lambda/2 = 505 \text{ nm}$. In these experiments the post diameters were fixed at $D=100 \text{ nm}$.

Other Parameters:

Changes in the trough widths showed the least significant effect on ionization efficiency. However, when the ion yields were followed as the function of the post height to trough width ratios, $H/(P-D)$, a threshold was found, i.e., for ratios less than 2 minimal or no signal was observed followed by a sharp increase in the 2 to 3 region (FIG. 4C). As the ratio further increased (i.e., either the height of the nanoposts increased or the trough width decreased) the ion yields began to decline. A similar relationship was observed for the post aspect ratio, H/D , (see FIG. 4D). In this case, little to no signal was observed below the ratio of 5, followed by a sudden increase that gave way to gradual decrease at higher ratios.

Desorption Ionization Mechanism on NAPA:

A batch of NAPA was produced for further experiments with the following dimensions: 200 nm post diameter, 1000 nm post height and 300 nm trough width. Ion yield as a function of laser polarization was studied on these NAPA. Survival yield as a function of laser fluence was studied on NAPA with H=1000 nm, $(P-D)=250 \text{ nm}$ and $D=50, 100, 200, 300, 400$ and 500 nm .

Survival Yield:

The survival yield for the protonated verapamil ion gradually declined with increasing laser fluence. Consequently, structure specific fragmentation could be promoted or suppressed by controlling the fluence of the desorption laser (see FIG. 8).

Survival yields of 4-methyl-benzylpyridinium ions (4M, critical energy=1.6 eV) desorbed from NAPA with 250 nm trough widths, 1000 nm post heights, and various post diameters: 50 nm (■), 100 nm (●), 200 (▲), 300 (▼), 400 (◆), and 500 (◀) were determined. This thermometer ion (TI) desorbed from NAPA with post diameters of 100 nm exhibited decreasing survival yields as the fluence was increased, whereas TIs desorbed from NAPA with larger post diameters had increasing survival yields as the fluence was increased (see FIG. 6). These findings indicated that satisfying the $P/D > 3$ condition resulted in a more straightforward interpretation of the physical phenomena involved. Among these datasets only the NAPA with 50 nm and 100 nm diameter posts satisfied this condition. Results in the 50 nm dataset were probably influenced by the deformation and melting of these thin posts due to the laser irradiation. The dataset obtained with 100 nm diameter posts exhibits a declining trend with increasing fluence. This indicates an increasing internal energy for the TIs with rising fluence. In case of the larger diameter posts, $D=200, 300, 400$ and 500 nm , with $P/D < 3$, the onset of other physical processes (e.g., altered and interacting local fields from the posts, altered plume dynamics in the narrow troughs) result in unchanged or increasing survival yields as the fluence is increased.

Polarization Dependent Ion Yields:

Ion yields from NAPA were dramatically affected by the orientation of the plane of polarization for the desorption laser, while MALDI showed no such behavior (see FIG. 9). On the NAPA platform, no signal was detected with s-polarized light and the strongest signal was observed with the p-polarized beam. A possible explanation is based on the dependence of energy coupling between the laser light and the posts on the relative orientation of the electric field vector and the post axis.

Applications of NAPA as an Ionization Platform:

Detection of a range of pharmaceuticals, dyes, explosives or explosive residues, narcotics, polymers, biomolecules, chemical warfare agents and their signatures, peptides, metabolites, lipids, oligosaccharides, proteins and other biomolecules, synthetic organics, drugs, and toxic chemicals with minimal to no interference and ultra-low limits of detection.

The following references are incorporated herein in their entirety as may be necessary to assist a person of ordinary skill in the art to fully understand the claimed invention.

References for Example 1

- (1) Tanaka, K. W., H.; Ido, Y.; Akita, S.; Yoshida, Y.; Yoshida, T. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* 1988, 2, 151-153.
- (2) McLean, J. A.; Stumpo, K. A.; Russell, D. H. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 2005, 127, 5304-5305.
- (3) Schaaff, T. G. *Analytical Chemistry* 2004, 76, 6187-6196.
- (4) Castellana, E. T.; Russell, D. H. *Nano Letters* 2007, 7, 3023-3025.
- (5) Go, E. P.; Apon, J. V.; Luo, G.; Saghatelian, A.; Daniels, R. H.; Sahi, V.; Dubrow, R.; Cravatt, B. F.; Vertes, A.; Siuzdak, G. *Analytical Chemistry* 2005, 77, 1641-1646.
- (6) Luo, G. H.; Chen, Y.; Daniels, H.; Dubrow, R.; Vertes, A. *Journal of Physical Chemistry B* 2006, 110, 13381-13386.
- (7) Wei, J.; Buriak, J. M.; Siuzdak, G. *Nature* 1999, 399, 243-246.
- (8) Luo, G. H.; Chen, Y.; Siuzdak, G.; Vertes, A. *Journal of Physical Chemistry B* 2005, 109, 24450-24456.
- (9) Trauger, S. A.; Go, E. P.; Shen, Z. X.; Apon, J. V.; Compton, B. J.; Bouvier, E. S. P.; Finn, M. G.; Siuzdak, G. *Analytical Chemistry* 2004, 76, 4484-4489.
- (10) Nordstrom, A.; Apon, J. V.; Uritboonthal, W.; Go, E. P.; Siuzdak, G. *Analytical Chemistry* 2006, 78, 272-278.
- (11) Go, E. P.; Shen, Z. X.; Harris, K.; Siuzdak, G. *Analytical Chemistry* 2003, 75, 5475-5479.
- (12) Northen, T. R.; Yanes, O.; Northen, M. T.; Marrinucci, D.; Uritboonthal, W.; Apon, J.; Golledge, S. L.; Nordstrom, A.; Siuzdak, G. *Nature* 2007, 449, 1033-U1033.
- (13) Chen, Y.; Vertes, A. *Analytical Chemistry* 2006, 78, 5835-5844.
- (14) Gorecka-Drzazga, A.; Dziuban, J.; Drzazga, W.; Kraj, A.; Silberring, J. *Journal of Vacuum Science & Technology B* 2005, 23, 819-823.
- (15) Crouch, C. H.; Carey, J. E.; Warrender, J. M.; Aziz, M. J.; Mazur, E.; Genin, F. Y. *Applied Physics Letters* 2004, 84, 1850-1852.
- (16) Her, T. H.; Finlay, R. J.; Wu, C.; Deliwala, S.; Mazur, E. *Applied Physics Letters* 1998, 73, 1673-1675.
- (17) Her, T. H.; Finlay, R. J.; Wu, C.; Mazur, E. *Applied Physics a-Materials Science & Processing* 2000, 70, 383-385.
- (18) Pedraza, A. J.; Fowlkes, J. D.; Guan, Y. F. *Applied Physics a-Materials Science & Processing* 2003, 77, 277-284.

- (19) Pedraza, A. J.; Fowlkes, J. D.; Lowndes, D. H. *Applied Physics Letters* 1999, 74, 2322-2324.
- (20) Pedraza, A. J.; Fowlkes, J. D.; Lowndes, D. H. *Applied Physics Letters* 2000, 77, 3018-3020.
- (21) Kuo, T. F.; Xu, J. *J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B* 2006, 24, 1925-1933.
- (22) Arney, S.; Kroupenkine, T. N.; Lyons, A. M.; Mandich, M. L.; Schabel, M. J.; Taylor, J. A. Dynamically controllable biological/chemical detectors having nanostructured surfaces, 2006, U.S. Pat. No. 7,048,889.
- (23) Walker, Bennett N.; Razunguzwa, T.; Powell, M.; Knochenmuss, R.; Vertes, A. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* 2009, 48, 1669-1672.

Example 2

Tailored Silicon Nanopost Arrays for Resonant Nanophotonic Ion Production

Quasiperiodic columnar silicon nanostructures offer low reflectivity in a wavelength range spanning from 200 nm through mid-IR¹ to the terahertz region². Examples include laser-induced silicon microcolumn arrays (LISMA)³ produced by femtosecond laser surface structuring⁴ and silicon nanotip (SiNT) arrays fabricated by plasma etching². These high aspect ratio structures offer sub-band gap light absorption with a corresponding photocurrent, broadband anti-reflection properties, efficient electron emission⁵ and superhydrophobic behavior⁶.

Nanoscale protrusions on silicon surfaces are known to result in the local enhancement of electromagnetic radiation that, for a 10:1 aspect ratio column, can reach an intensity gain close to 200 in the near field⁷. Metal nanostructures can exhibit additional enhancements through surface plasmon resonances and operate as optical antennas⁸⁻¹⁰. These structures demonstrate resonant energy absorption¹¹ that is sensitive to polarization¹² and antenna length⁹, and their near-field response can be tuned through altering the geometry¹³. Near-field radiation induced fluorescence has been demonstrated in biological membranes¹⁴ and single molecules¹⁵ opening the way for the microscopy and spectroscopy of sub-wavelength domains. At higher laser fluences materials brought to the proximity of these enhanced fields can undergo ablation^{16,17} that can include the nanoscopic structure producing the enhancement itself¹⁸. In particular, gold nanoparticle ablation induced by the near field sets in between 9 and 12 mJ/cm², whereas melting only commences at 15 mJ/cm²¹⁸.

Nanostructures that have dimensions commensurate with the wavelength of the electromagnetic radiation exhibit near-field effects^{19,20} and, as optical antennas, can couple laser radiation to the local environment²¹. We have recently discovered that increasing the laser intensity on LISMA structures covered with biomolecules results in adsorbate ion production³ and this process exhibits nanophotonic behavior²². Features of ion production from LISMA include polarization and incidence angle dependent ion yields, and the ability to adjust the degree of ion fragmentation through the laser fluence^{3,22}. As the laser intensity is increased, structure specific fragment ions resulting from both low energy and high-energy processes are observed.²³ These results indicate that surface collisions, in-plume reactions, and the enhancement of the electromagnetic field near the microcolumns may all play a role in ion production from these sources. Due to the narrow range of array geometries accessible through laser surface structuring²⁴, there are

limited possibilities for tuning the interaction between the LISMA structure and the laser radiation.

Silicon nanopost arrays (NAPA) are similar to LISMA in their chemical composition and overall morphology. Due to the nanofabrication used in their production, however, we have a greater control over the relevant dimensions. The schematic of a NAPA, along with the relevant dimensions and the electric field of the incident laser beam is shown in FIG. 10A.

In this work, we explore laser desorption ionization from tailored NAPA produced by fabrication in a wide range of post diameters, heights and periodicities. Ion production from NAPA structures upon exposure to laser radiation was explored for a range of dimensions and laser fluences. Our results show that selecting certain post aspect ratios give rise to a resonance in ion production.

Experimental Section

Nanopost Array Fabrication. Low resistivity (0.001-0.005 $\Omega\cdot\text{cm}$) p-type silicon wafers were spin coated by ZEP520A resist at 6000 rpm for 45 seconds and baked at 180° C. for 2 minutes. A variety of rectangular packed patterns for cylindrical NAPA were produced by e-beam lithography (JEOL JBX-9300). Nanopost diameters and periodicities were systematically varied from 50 to 600 nm, and from 200 to 1200 nm, respectively. To remove the exposed resist, wafers were soaked in xylenes for 30 seconds, rinsed with isopropanol, and blow dried with nitrogen gas. The wafer was descummed in an oxygen plasma at 100 watts for 6 seconds using a Technics reactive ion etching system. A 10-nm chromium layer was deposited onto the wafer at a rate of 0.1 nm/sec using an electron beam evaporator. Sonication of the wafer in an acetone bath for 2.5 minutes dissolved the unexposed resist and removed the chromium layer from those areas. Various nanopost heights (~200 nm to ~1500 nm) were produced at a rate of ~100 nm/min by an Oxford PlasmaLab100 reactive ion etching system using a combination of C_4F_8 and SF_6 gases. The integrity and the dimensions of the resulting NAPA were inspected using a scanning electron microscope (FEI Nova Nanolab 600 Dual-Beam™) system (see FIG. 10B).

Mass Spectrometry. Following the nanofabrication process, the laser desorption ionization properties of the NAPA were confirmed using a Bruker Daltonics Autoflex II reflectron time-of-flight mass spectrometer (TOF-MS). Adsorbate solutions of substance P, bradykinin, leucine enkephalin, angiotensin I, GFL and verapamil were prepared at a concentration of ~1 mg/mL in 50% methanol. A 0.5 μL aliquot of a solution was deposited onto the NAPA surface and air dried. Averaged mass spectra were acquired from 100 laser shots in reflectron mode. More detailed experiments, aimed at the fluence dependence of the ion yield and the fragmentation behavior, were conducted on a Kratos Axima III TOF-MS with a curved field reflectron. The Kratos instrument used a nitrogen laser focused to ~100 μm diameter spot with adjustable laser fluence on the NAPA target. Averaged spectra were acquired from 200 laser shots in reflectron mode using a 2.5 kV extraction voltage with a 100 ns delay and a 20 kV accelerating voltage.

Polarized Laser Desorption Ionization. Radiation from an LSI nitrogen laser (VSL-337ND) was polarized using an uncoated Glan-Taylor calcite polarizer in a rotation mount. The 4 ns laser pulses were attenuated with a continuously variable neutral density filter to maintain a pulse energy of ~10 μJ while the plane of polarization was rotated between s- and p-polarized. The polarized beam was focused with a

fused silica lens to a ~200 μm spot on the NAPA structures in the source region of a home-built time-of-flight mass spectrometer.

Energy Deposition Modeling. To model the energy deposition and redistribution in the nanoposts, a three-dimensional finite difference scheme was implemented with an adaptive mesh. With the help of the FlexPDE 6.06 (PDE Solutions, Inc.) package, Equation 2 was solved for a single post with insulating boundary conditions, except for the base of the post, where heat conduction to the silicon wafer was allowed. The laser pulse was represented by a uniform intensity distribution of 6×10^6 W/cm^2 along the post. The thermal conductivity and specific heat of silicon were treated as temperature dependent parameters.

Results and Discussion

NAPA Production. The cylindrical post diameters, D, heights, H, and periods, P, are varied in the D=50-600 nm, H=200-1,500 nm, and P=200-1,200 nm ranges, respectively. The arrays are established on a rectangular grid of 500 μm on each side. Thus the array sizes are $2,500\times 2,500=6,250,000$ posts for P=200 nm, and $416\times 416=173,056$ posts for P=1200 nm. FIG. 1B shows the scanning electron microscope (SEM) image of a segment of the NAPA produced by anisotropic reactive ion etching (RIE) which achieves uniform post heights with vertical walls and minimum tapering. The 10 nm thick chromium top on the posts is necessary for the RIE process that produces posts with aspect ratios up to H/D=15. To rule out the possible effect of these chromium caps on the nanophotonic behavior, we refer to similar findings in the case of LISMA structures. Those systems do not have chromium caps, yet they exhibit many similar nanophotonic properties, such as the polarization dependent ion yields discussed below.

Laser Desorption Ionization Mass Spectra from NAPA. Small organics and biomolecules, deposited on the NAPA structures, are efficiently desorbed and ionized by 337 nm nitrogen laser radiation of about 20 mJ/cm^2 and above. FIG. 2A depicts the high mass region of the low fluence mass spectrum of the neuropeptide Substance P. The fragmentation nomenclature follows the conventions introduced by Biemann²⁵. Protonated molecules are produced with high abundance accompanied by low amounts of alkalinized products and a fragment corresponding to m/z 14 loss. In the low mass region ($m/z<560$), backbone cleavage (a_2 , b_2), internal fragments (PK-28/KP-28, QF, KPQ-28) and ammonium ions (R-87 or P-17, K/Q, K/Q-28, M-28, R-45, F-28, K/Q-45) are prevalent. Other small peptides (bradykinin, leucine enkephalin, angiotensin I, GFL, etc.) give similar results.

Small organics composed of preformed ions (organic salts), like verapamil hydrochloride, produce very clean spectra (not shown) dominated by the protonated molecule, with negligible fragmentation corresponding to the loss of the 3,4-dimethoxyphenylmethyl moiety. Preformed ions exist as charged entities already in the solid phase or as an adsorbate. Thus they do not require an ionization step and can be used to probe the desorption process separately. The desorption of verapamil from the NAPA structure is found to be very efficient resulting in an ultralow detection limit of 6 attomoles.

The reusability of NAPA was investigated by taking mass spectra of various peptides repeatedly from the same substrate and sonicating it in methanol and water baths between the experiments. Our results showed minimal cross contamination between runs and no nanopost damage was observed after sonication. The minor cross contamination is likely due to the ultra low limit of detection, pointing to the importance

of thorough cleaning between experiments. Furthermore, storage of the NAPA structures for over 1.5 years did not impact the performance of these structures. These results indicate the potential for reusability for these structures and stability in the ambient environment.

Resonant Ion Production and Fragmentation. To explore the impact of NAPA geometries on peptide ion production, the yields of quasimolecular ions are followed for a variety of nanofabricated structures. Of the three main parameters, D, H and P, the post heights have the strongest influence on the ion yields. FIG. 2B shows the yields of substance P ions as a function of the post aspect ratio, H/D, for different post diameters. Compared to the H/D=1 case, the large diameter posts, D=500 and 400 nm, have modest ion yield maxima at the low aspect ratios of 2.4 and 3, respectively, that show a factor of 7 increase. As the posts become thinner, D=300, 200 and 100 nm, the maxima shift to higher aspect ratios, H/D=4, 6 and 10, respectively, and the ion yields show more dramatic gains. The largest gain, a factor of 55 compared to the H/D=1 case, is observed for D=200 nm at an aspect ratio of six. In terms of post height, the D=500, 400, 300 and 200 posts show maximum ion yields at H=1,200 nm and the D=100 nm post is most efficient at H=1,000 nm. This corresponds to $H/\lambda \approx 3.0$ to 3.6 and an optimum gain of 55.

This resonance-like behavior is analogous to the aspect ratio-dependent gains in the near-field intensity observed for spheroidal silicon protrusions through fluorescence^{7,26}. Studying the effect of probe length on field enhancement around an apertureless near-field probe, Bohn and coworkers have found that for a tip radius of curvature $R_c=10$ nm the intensity enhancement of $\kappa^2 \approx 225$ is the highest at $a/R_c \approx 12$, where a is the semimajor axis length of the spheroid. They attributed the drop-off of the enhancement at higher aspect ratios to the emergence of internal resonances in the probe. For the protrusion with $R_c=5$ nm the enhancement factor continues to grow up to $\kappa^2 \approx 250$ at $a/R_c \approx 20$, the highest studied aspect ratio. The general trend in this data is similar to our ion yield observations. Slender posts in both cases produce strong enhancement in the signal at aspect ratios that shift to higher values for smaller diameters. Despite the similarities, the interactions of the posts with the laser radiation are significantly different in the two studies. This is directly manifested in the corresponding skin depth, δ , values. While the silicon in the fluorescence study has a skin depth of ~ 1100 nm, i.e., their near-field probe is practically transparent, in our work, $\delta=84$ nm resulting in strong absorption of the incident light in the posts. Further differences arise from the interactions between the posts in our large arrays.

To uncover the effect of periodicity on the ion yields, arrays of D=200 nm posts and different periods, P=450, 500,

550 and 600 nm, are compared as a function of the aspect ratio. The results for substance P are summarized in FIG. 11C. All four arrays produce maximum ion yields at $H/D \approx 6$, but the amplitudes of the gains, 18 and 30 for P=450 and 600 nm, respectively, are somewhat different. This modest dependence on the period indicates that most of the enhancement is caused by near-field effects around a post that are only weakly influenced by the presence of other posts in the array.

The two most significant factors that influence the desorption and ionization of adsorbates are the near-field enhancement of the laser intensity and the heating of the posts by the radiation. The electric field, E, at a distance r from the surface of the post can be approximated as⁷ $E = -\kappa |E_p| (D/(D+2r))^3 r$ and $E_p = E_i \sin \theta \cos \phi$, where E_p is the component of the laser electric field vector that is parallel with the posts, θ is the angle of incidence, ϕ is the polarization angle, and r is a unit vector pointing away from the post. Thus, the enhanced laser intensity at the top of the post decays as,

$$I(r) = -\kappa^2 I_i \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \phi \left(\frac{D}{D+2r} \right)^6, \quad (1)$$

where I_i is the incident laser intensity. Therefore, the enhanced intensity depends on the angle of incidence and the polarization angle, and decays rapidly with the distance from the surface.

Adsorbates close to the surface experience strong electric fields and radiation intensities that, depending on the aspect ratio of the posts, can be up to 200 times higher than the incident laser intensity. These conditions can promote ionization and induce fragmentation through a yet unknown mechanism. Table 1 summarizes the fragment ions produced by a nitrogen laser pulse on a typical NAPA from model peptides GFL and substance P. In addition to some of the a, b and y-series ions commonly observed in high energy collision activated dissociation (CAD)²⁷ and in surface induced dissociation (SID)²⁸, the decomposition products observed from NAPA include internal fragments and immonium ions. In place of the commonly observed ammonia loss from the protonated molecule at low energies, the loss of 14 Da is detected. Based on the size distribution and the nature of the fragments it seems that low energy and high energy decomposition channels are operational simultaneously. Currently only nanophotonic ionization techniques, LISMA and NAPA, produce peptide molecular ions and their structure specific fragments without additional ion activation.

TABLE 1

Fragmentation of small peptides in laser desorption ionization from NAPA substrates (D = 200 nm, H = 1000 nm and P = 500 nm for substance P and D = 200 nm, H = 800 nm and P = 500 nm for GFL).										
Peptides	Quasi-molecular ions		Fragment ions ^a						Internal fragments	Immonium ions ^b
			14 loss	a ions	b ions	c ions	y ions	Y ions		
GFL	M + H, M + Na, M + K		M + H-14	a ₂	b ₂	c ₂	y ₁ , y ₂	Y1		F-28
Substance P	M + H, M + Na, M + K		M + H-14	a ₂ , a ₂ -NH ₃	b ₂	c ₂			PKPQQ-28, PQQ, KPQ-28	R-87 or P-17, K/Q, K/Q-28, M-28, R-45, F-28, K/Q-45

^aThe peptide fragmentation nomenclature follows the conventions introduced by Biemann²⁵.

^bImmonium ions undergo consecutive losses of 17, 12, 29, etc. This notation starts from the intact immonium ion of a residue and marks the losses in nominal mass units

Polarization Dependent Ion Yields. It has been shown that polarization and incidence angle dependent strong optical fields can be generated between a sharp metal tip and a surface²⁹. Even without plasmon resonance, strong field enhancement is observed for p-polarized light, whereas s-polarized beams produce no enhancement. Polarization dependent ion production has also been demonstrated for LISMA substrates²². Here we show that laser desorption ionization from the NAPA structures at constant fluence exhibits strong polarization angle dependence. FIG. 12 summarizes the results for the ion yields of verapamil and bradykinin as a function of ϕ between 60° and 220°. Verapamil follows a close to $\cos^2 \phi$ behavior, with strong ion production for p-polarized light and close to zero ion yields for s-polarized radiation. Although the general trend is similar, bradykinin and angiotensin I (not shown) ion yields approximate a cusp in the p-polarized region and drop to zero in a wide range (from 60° to)130° around the s-polarized orientation. Since verapamil exists in ionic form already as an adsorbate, ion production only requires a desorption step, whereas for the peptides an ionization step, e.g., proton transfer, is also required. This additional ionization step needed for peptides might be responsible for the differences in the shapes of the ion yield curves in FIG. 12.

Modeling of Energy Deposition. Ion production from adsorbates on NAPA can be rationalized by the onset of several processes: energy concentration and deposition induced by the interaction of the laser radiation with the NAPA, energy redistribution in the quasi one-dimensional posts, and the desorption, ionization and activation of the adsorbed species. Energy deposition into the nanoposts is governed by the power dissipation in their volume, $dP/dV=J \cdot E_p$, where $J=\sigma E_p$ is the current induced by the electric field in the posts and σ is their conductivity. The penetration of the electric field into the posts, therefore, the region with significant current and dissipation is determined by the skin depth, which for our low resistivity silicon at 337 nm wavelength is 84 nm. The surface current, J_s , decays with the distance, d , from the surface as $J=J_s \exp(-d/\delta)$. Thus to follow the energy redistribution the heat conduction equation has to be solved for a penetrating volume source. For posts thinner than the phonon mean free path, which for silicon nanoposts at 300 K is ~ 800 nm³⁰, the Fourier heat conduction equation is replaced by the ballistic-diffusive heat transport equation. The difference between the two approaches is most pronounced at short time scales^{31,32}, so for the calculation of the post surface temperatures at the end of the laser pulse we use the former.

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \nabla \left(\frac{K(T)V}{C_p} \nabla T \right) + (1-R) \frac{V}{\delta C_p} I_0 \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \phi \exp(-d/\delta), \quad (2)$$

where $K(T)$, C_p , R and V are the temperature dependent thermal conductivity, heat capacity, reflectance and molar volume, respectively. The FlexPDE finite element package is used with an adaptive mesh to solve Eq. (2) for the nanopost geometry. Surface temperatures, T_{surf} , are calculated on the irradiated side of the nanopost at the end of the laser pulse. For $D=300$ nm posts, the results indicate that the surface temperature is a strong function of the polarization angle. At 24 mJ/cm², temperatures for p-polarized light reach 1,228 K, whereas no heating is predicted for s-polarized radiation. FIG. 3 indicates that the ion production is a threshold process, with an earlier onset for verapamil that requires only a desorption step compared to bradykinin that

relies on an additional ionization step for ion production. Compared to verapamil, the relative ion yield values at the maximum are 1.4× lower for bradykinin and 1.6× lower for angiotensin I.

Modeling based on equation (2) also demonstrates that NAPA with thinner posts reach increasingly higher surface temperatures. This effect sets in as the post diameters become smaller than the heat diffusion length, l , in silicon. Due to the temperature dependence of K and C_p , for a $\tau=5$ ns laser pulse $l=\sqrt{K(T)V\tau/C_p}$ drops from 730 nm at 300 K to 230 nm at 1,600 K. FIG. 4 demonstrates that based on equation (2), indeed, at a laser fluence of 30 mJ/cm² the surface temperature of 100-nm diameter posts temporarily exceeds the melting point. SEM images of NAPA, after exposure to laser radiation, confirm this prediction (see insets in FIG. 13).

Conclusions

Nanophotonic ion production was first demonstrated on LISMA substrates²². Strong fields enhancements near the nanoposts are thought to contribute to ion formation. Due to the limitations of the laser surface processing involved in their production, those structures are restricted to diameters of 300 nm and above, and maximum aspect ratios of two. Nanofabrication of NAPA can produce structures with three times smaller diameters and with aspect ratios up to $H/D=15$. These higher aspect ratio structures have significantly increased local fields that can promote ionization. Laser desorption ionization experiments show resonant ion production from these slender posts with a 55-fold enhancement.

In addition, a dramatic increase of the ion yield is observed as the angle of polarization transitions from the s- to p-polarized, indicating a similarity to antenna arrays. The strong polarization dependence of the ion yields from NAPA enables the rapid modulation and switching of ion production.

The diameter of slender posts is close to the heat diffusion length. Therefore, these posts reach higher surface temperatures and can more efficiently transfer energy to the adsorbate. As a result, NAPA-based ion sources offer adjustable fragmentation not available for ion sources currently used in the structure elucidation of peptides and proteins. The traditional techniques rely on CAD or other ion activation techniques to produce structure specific fragments.

Further development and understanding of these nanophotonic ion sources can lead to a highly efficient ion source capable of controlling the degree of fragmentation for various biomolecules. The size, material and fabrication of NAPA structures make them amenable to eventual integration with microfluidic devices and micromachined mass spectrometers.

References for Example 2

- (1) Crouch, C. H.; Carey, J. E.; Warrender, J. M.; Aziz, M. J.; Mazur, E.; Genin, F. Y. *Applied Physics Letters* 2004, 84, 1850.
- (2) Huang, Y. F.; Chattopadhyay, S.; Jen, Y. J.; Peng, C. Y.; Liu, T. A.; Hsu, Y. K.; Pan, C. L.; Lo, H. C.; Hsu, C. H.; Chang, Y. H.; Lee, C. S.; Chen, K. H.; Chen, L. C. *Nature Nanotechnology* 2007, 2, 770.
- (3) Chen, Y.; Vertes, A. *Analytical Chemistry* 2006, 78, 5835.
- (4) Her, T. H.; Finlay, R. J.; Wu, C.; Deliwala, S.; Mazur, E. *Applied Physics Letters* 1998, 73, 1673.
- (5) Zorba, V.; Tzanetakis, P.; Fotakis, C.; Spanakis, E.; Stratakis, E.; Papazoglou, D. G.; Zergioti, I. *Applied Physics Letters* 2006, 88, 081103.

- (6) Zorba, V.; Persano, L.; Pisignano, D.; Athanassiou, A.; Stratakis, E.; Cingolani, R.; Tzanetakis, P.; Fotakis, C. *Nanotechnology* 2006, 17, 3234.
- (7) Hamann, H. F.; Gallagher, A.; Nesbitt, D. J. *Applied Physics Letters* 2000, 76, 1953.
- (8) Farahani, J. N.; Pohl, D. W.; Eisler, H. J.; Hecht, B. *Physical Review Letters* 2005, 95, 017402.
- (9) Muhlschlegel, P.; Eisler, H. J.; Martin, O. J. F.; Hecht, B.; Pohl, D. W. *Science* 2005, 308, 1607.
- (10) Cubukcu, E.; Kort, E. A.; Crozier, K. B.; Capasso, F. *Applied Physics Letters* 2006, 89, 3.
- (11) Crozier, K. B.; Sundaramurthy, A.; Kino, G. S.; Quate, C. F. *Journal of Applied Physics* 2003, 94, 4632.
- (12) Fischer, H.; Martin, O. J. F. *Journal of the European Optical Society-Rapid Publications* 2008, 3, 08018.
- (13) Merlein, J.; Kahl, M.; Zuschlag, A.; Sell, A.; Halm, A.; Boneberg, J.; Leiderer, P.; Leitenstorfer, A.; Bratschitsch, R. *Nature Photonics* 2008, 2, 230.
- (14) Sanchez, E. J.; Novotny, L.; Xie, X. S. *Physical Review Letters* 1999, 82, 4014.
- (15) Anger, P.; Bharadwaj, P.; Novotny, L. *Physical Review Letters* 2006, 96, 113002.
- (16) Stockle, R.; Setz, P.; Deckert, V.; Lippert, T.; Wokaun, A.; Zenobi, R. *Analytical Chemistry* 2001, 73, 1399.
- (17) Hwang, D. J.; Chimmalgil, A.; Grigoropoulos, C. P. *Journal of Applied Physics* 2006, 99, 044905.
- (18) Plech, A.; Kotaidis, V.; Lorenc, M.; Boneberg, J. *Nature Physics* 2006, 2, 44.
- (19) Girard, C. *Reports on Progress in Physics* 2005, 68, 1883.
- (20) Coyle, S.; Netti, M. C.; Baumberg, J. J.; Ghanem, M. A.; Birkin, P. R.; Bartlett, P. N.; Whittaker, D. M. *Physical Review Letters* 2001, 87, 176801.
- (21) Taminiau, T. H.; Stefani, F. D.; Segerink, F. B.; Van Hulst, N. F. *Nature Photonics* 2008, 2, 234.
- (22) Walker, B. N.; Razinguzwa, T.; Powell, M.; Knochenmuss, R.; Vertes, A. *Angewandte Chemie-International Edition* 2009, 48, 1669.
- (23) Stolee, J. A.; Chen, Y.; Vertes, A. *Journal of Physical Chemistry C* 2009, *Web released*, DOI: 10.1021/jp906834z.
- (24) Akhmanov, S. A.; Emelyanov, V. I.; Koroteyev, N. I.; Seminogov, V. N. *Uspekhi Fizicheskikh Nauk* 1985, 147, 675.
- (25) Biemann, K. *Biomedical and Environmental Mass Spectrometry* 1988, 16, 99.
- (26) Bohn, J. L.; Nesbitt, D. J.; Gallagher, A. *Journal of the Optical Society of America A* 2001, 18, 2998.
- (27) Vachet, R. W.; Winders, A. D.; Glish, G. L. *Analytical Chemistry* 1996, 68, 522.
- (28) Nair, H.; Somogyi, A.; Wysocki, V. H. *Journal of Mass Spectrometry* 1996, 31, 1141.
- (29) Martin, O. J. F.; Girard, C. *Applied Physics Letters* 1997, 70, 705.
- (30) Luo, G. H.; Chen, Y.; Daniels, H.; Dubrow, R.; Vertes, A. *Journal of Physical Chemistry B* 2006, 110, 13381.
- (31) Chen, G. *Physical Review Letters* 2001, 86, 2297.
- (32) Joshi, A. A.; Majumdar, A. *Journal of Applied Physics* 1993, 74, 31.

What is claimed is:

1. A laser desorption ionization mass spectrometry device, comprising:

- i) a silicon nanopost array configured to hold surface deposited sample molecules without a matrix, said silicon nanopost array having a plurality of tailored aspect ratio posts;

ii) a pulsed laser configured to emit unpolarized radiation at said plurality of tailored aspect ratio posts and the sample molecules for desorption ionization;

iii) focusing optics based on lenses, mirrors, or a sharpened optical fiber configured to direct the unpolarized laser radiation at the plurality of tailored aspect ratio posts and the sample molecules; and

iv) a mass spectrometer configured to analyze and detect the ions that are directly desorbed from said plurality of tailored aspect ratio posts,

with the proviso that the tailored aspect ratio posts are not laser induced posts.

2. The device of claim 1, wherein the sample molecules are selected from the group consisting of pharmaceuticals, dyes, explosives or explosive residues, narcotics, polymers, biomolecules, chemical warfare agents and their signatures, peptides, metabolites, lipids, oligosaccharides, proteins and other biomolecules, synthetic organics, drugs, and toxic chemicals.

3. The device of claim 1, wherein the samples are microorganisms selected from the group consisting of unicellular eukaryotes, prokaryotes and viruses.

4. A sensor device, comprising:

a nanopost array having tailored aspect ratio posts and an ion source operatively connected to an input port of a mass spectrometer, wherein said mass spectrometer is within an evacuated housing, is connected to a power source, and has an output port for transmitting a signal generated by the interaction of the sample with the nanopost array, with the proviso that the tailored aspect ratio posts are not laser induced posts.

5. The sensor device of claim 4, wherein the sample is selected from the group consisting of pharmaceuticals, dyes, explosives or explosive residues, narcotics, polymers, biomolecules, chemical warfare agents and their signatures, peptides, metabolites, lipids, oligosaccharides, proteins and other biomolecules, synthetic organics, drugs, and toxic chemicals.

6. The sensor device of claim 4, wherein the samples are microorganisms selected from the group consisting of unicellular eukaryotes, prokaryotes and viruses.

7. The sensor device of claim 4, wherein the sensor device is connected to a microfluidic device used for the separation of components in mixtures.

8. The sensor device of claim 4, wherein the sensor device further comprises a microfluidic growth chamber for the growth of a microorganism.

9. A laser desorption ionization mass spectrometry device, comprising:

i) a silicon nanopost array configured to hold surface deposited sample molecules without a matrix, said silicon nanopost array having a plurality of tailored aspect ratio posts;

ii) a pulsed laser configured to emit unpolarized radiation at said plurality of tailored aspect ratio posts and the sample molecules for desorption ionization;

iii) focusing optics based on lenses, mirrors, or a sharpened optical fiber configured to direct the unpolarized laser radiation at the plurality of tailored aspect ratio posts and the sample molecules; and

iv) a mass spectrometer configured to analyze and detect the ions that are directly desorbed from said plurality of tailored aspect ratio posts, wherein the post has an aspect ratio defined as ratio of height to diameter between 4-10.

10. A laser desorption ionization mass spectrometry device, comprising:

25

- i) a silicon nanopost array configured to hold surface deposited sample molecules without a matrix, said silicon nanopost array having a plurality of tailored aspect ratio posts;
 - ii) a pulsed laser configured to emit polarized radiation at said plurality of tailored aspect ratio posts and the sample molecules for desorption ionization;
 - iii) focusing optics based on lenses, mirrors, or a sharpened optical fiber configured to direct the polarized laser radiation at said plurality of tailored aspect ratio posts and the sample molecules; and
 - iv) a mass spectrometer configured to analyze and detect the ions that are directly desorbed from said plurality of tailored aspect ratio posts,
- with the proviso that the tailored aspect ratio posts are not laser induced posts.

11. The device of claim **10**, wherein the sample molecules are selected from the group consisting of pharmaceuticals, dyes, explosives or explosive residues, narcotics, polymers, biomolecules, chemical warfare agents and their signatures, peptides, metabolites, lipids, oligosaccharides, proteins and other biomolecules, synthetic organics, drugs, and toxic chemicals.

26

12. The device of claim **10**, wherein the samples are microorganisms selected from the group consisting of unicellular eukaryotes, prokaryotes and viruses.

13. A laser desorption ionization mass spectrometry device, comprising:

- i) a silicon nanopost array configured to hold surface deposited sample molecules without a matrix, said silicon nanopost array having a plurality of tailored aspect ratio posts;
 - ii) a pulsed laser configured to emit unpolarized radiation at said plurality of tailored aspect ratio posts and the sample molecules for desorption ionization;
 - iii) focusing optics based on lenses, mirrors, or a sharpened optical fiber configured to direct the unpolarized laser radiation at the plurality of tailored aspect ratio posts and the sample molecules; and
 - iv) a mass spectrometer configured to analyze and detect the ions that are directly desorbed from said plurality of tailored aspect ratio posts,
- wherein said plurality of tailored aspect ratio posts have a height and diameter that provides maximum ion yield.

* * * * *